Recovering Differential Operators on Spatial Networks

V.A. Yurko

Abstract. We give a short review of results on inverse spectral problems for ordinary differential operators on a spatial networks (geometrical graphs). We pay the main attention to the most important nonlinear inverse problems of recovering coefficients of differential equations from spectral characteristics provided that the structure of the graph is known a priori. In the first half of the review we provide results related to inverse Sturm-Liouville problems on arbitrary compact graphs. Further, results on inverse problems for arbitrary order differential operators on compact graphs are presented. At the end we provide the main results on inverse problems on noncompact graphs.

Bibliography: 55 titles.

Keywords: differential operators, spatial networks, inverse spectral problems

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 34A55, 34B45; Secondary 47E05, 74J25.

arXiv:1510.00329v1 [math.SP] 10 Sep 2015

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Sturm-Liouville operators on trees.
   2.1. Weyl functions. Statements of the inverse problems.
   2.2. Properties of spectral characteristics.
   2.3. Solution of the inverse problems.
3. Sturm-Liouville operators on compact graphs with cycles.
   3.1. Inverse problems on the bush-graph.
   3.2. Inverse problems on an arbitrary compact graph.
4. Arbitrary order differential operators on compact graphs.
   4.1. Inverse problems for n-th order operators.
   4.2. Inverse problems for variable order operators on graphs without cycles.
   4.3. Inverse problems for variable order operators on graphs with cycles.
5. Differential operators on noncompact graphs.
   5.1. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems on a noncompact star-graph.
   5.2. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems on a noncompact sun-graph.
   5.3. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems on a noncompact A-graph.
   5.4. Variable order operators on a noncompact with a cycle.
6. Bibliography.

1. Introduction

This paper presents a short review of results on inverse spectral problems for ordinary differential operators on spatial networks (geometrical graphs). Differential operators on graphs often appear in mathematics, mechanics, physics, geophysics, physical chemistry, electronics, nanotechnology and other branches of natural sciences and engineering (see [1-8] and the bibliography therein). Most of the works are devoted to the so-called direct problems of studying properties of the spectrum and root functions. In this direction we mark the monograph [8], where extensive references can be found related to direct problems of spectral analysis on graphs. Inverse problems consist in recovering coefficients of operators from their spectral characteristics. Such problems have many applications and therefore attract attention of scientists. On the other hand, inverse spectral problems are very difficult for investigation, because of their nonlinearity. The main results and methods on inverse spectral problems for differential operators *on an interval* (finite or infinite) were obtained in the second half of the XX-th century; these results

are presented fairly completely in the monographs [9-12], where an extensive bibliography can be found.

Active Research on the inverse spectral problems for differential operators *on graphs* started in the XXI-th centure. The first important and rather wide class of problems, for which the theory of solutions of inverse problems has been constructed, were the so-called *trees*, i.e. graphs without cycles [13-15]; see Section 2 for more details on these results. Two different methods were applied in the investigation of inverse problems on trees in [13-15]: the transformation operator method and the method of spectral mappings. The first method [13] connects with the process of wave propagation, and the second one [14] uses ideas of contour integration and the apparatus of the theory of analytic functions. In particular, the method of spectral mappings allowed one not only to prove a uniqueness theorem with most limited data, but also to obtain an algorithm for the solution of this class of inverse problems. Moreover, this method turned out to be effective also for essentially more difficult problems on graphs with cycles. Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on arbitrary compact graphs with cycles have been solved in [16-20] and other works (see also Section 3 of the present paper). Some other aspects of the inverse problem theory for Sturm-Liouville operators on compact graphs were discussed in [21-29] and other works. In particular, a generalization of results from [14] was obtained in [21] for Sturm-Liouville operators on a tree with generalized matching conditions and with a complex-valued potential; an algorithm for the solution of the inverse problem from the given Weyl vector was provided, and the uniqueness of the solution was proved. In [22], for a particular case of the problem from [21], the uniqueness theorem was proved, which coincides with the uniqueness theorem from [14], and also the calculation of the lengths of the graph's edges from the given matrix of spectral mappings was discussed. In [25] a compact graph with one cycle and one boundary edge is investigated. In [24] the case of singular potentials from W_2^{-1} was considered, and in [27-29] inverse problems with incomplete information were studied together with inverse nodal problems on graphs. In [30-31] inverse problems on graphs for pencils of differential operators with nonlinear dependence on the spectral parameter were investigated.

Inverse problems *on noncompact graphs* are not as thoroughly investigated. Several works on the topic exist so far, but they do not form a general picture. For some particular cases of noncompact graphs, the inverse Sturm-Liouville problem was investigated in [32-43] and other works. We mark results from [37-40], where the solutions of the inverse problems were obtained for some important classes of noncompact graphs both with cycles and without them. These results are represented in Section 5 of the present paper. However, nowadays the theory is absent for noncompact graphs of an arbitrary structure.

All above-mentioned results are related only to the Sturm-Liouville operator. Inverse problems for arbitrary order differential operators on graphs, because of their complexity, have been investigated very little. For n-th order operators for $n > 2$, results are known only for trees. An important and interesting class is variable order operators, when differential equations have different orders on different edges. Such equations appear in various problems of mathematics and in applications (see, for example, [47] and the bibliography therein), for example, for describing oscillations in such structures as masts with cable supports, cable-stayed bridges and others. Some results on inverse problems for variable order differential operators were obtained in [48-52]. These results are presented in subsections 4.2, 4.3, 5.4 of the present review.

Some words about the structure of the paper. In Section 2 we provide results related to inverse Sturm-Liouville on compact trees. Sturm-Liouville operators on compact graphs with cycles are studied in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to inverse problems for arbitrary order differential operators on compact graphs. In Section 5 we present the main results on noncompact graphs. We note that the review is not meant to be comprehensive. Here we describe only main results on the theory of inverse spectral problems for ordinary differential operators on spatial networks. We pay the main attention to the most important nonlinear inverse problems of recovering coefficients of differential equations from spectral characteristics provided that the structure of the graph is known a priori. We mention only the most important monographs and papers, and refer the

reader to them for more detailed acquaintance with the subject.

2. Sturm-Liouville operators on trees

In this section we provide results on inverse spectral problems for self-adjoint and non-self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operators on compact graphs without cycles (trees) with generalized matching conditions in interior vertices and boundary conditions in boundary vertices. We introduce and study the so-called Weyl vector which is a generalization of the notion of the Weyl function for the classical Sturm-Liouville operator on an interval. We consider three inverse problems of recovering the potential and the coefficients of the boundary conditions from the Weyl vector, froma system of spectra and from discrete spectral data, respectively. For these inverse problems uniqueness theorems are proved, and algorithms for constructing solutions are provided. For studying these inverse problems we use ideas of the method of specral mappings, and also an important procedure of "pseudo-cutting"the tree, which allows one to solve the inverse problems step by step going on from one edge to another.

2.1. Weyl functions. Statements of the inverse problems. Consider a compact connected tree T in $\mathbf{R^m}$ with the root v_0, the set of vertices $V = \{v_0, \dots, v_r\}$ and the set of edges $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$. For simplicity we suppose that the length of each edge is equal to 1 (the results remain true for arbitrary lengths). A vertex is called a boundary vertex if it belongs to only one edge. Such an edge is called a boundary edge. All other vertices and edges are called internal. Without loss of generality we assume that v_0 is a boundary vertex.

For two points $a, b \in T$ we will write $a \le b$ if a lies on a unique simple path connecting the root v_0 with b; let $|b|$ stand for the length of this path. We will write $a < b$ if $a \le b$ and $a \ne b$. The relation $<$ defines a partial ordering on T. If $a < b$ we denote $[a, b] := \{z \in T : a \le z \le b\}$. In particular, if $e = [v, w]$ is an edge, we call v its initial point, w its end point and say that e emanates from v and terminates at w.



fig. 2.1

For each internal vertex v we denote by $R(v) := \{e \in \mathcal{E} : e = [v, w], w \in V\}$ the set of edges emanating from v. For any $v \in V$ the number $|v|$ is a non-negative integer, which is called the order of v. For $e \in \mathcal{E}$ its order is defined as the order of its end point. The number $\sigma := \max_{j=\overline{1,r}} |v_j|$ is called the height of the tree T. Let $V^{(\mu)} := \{v \in V : |v| = \mu\}$, $\mu = \overline{0, \sigma}$ be the set of vertices of order μ, and let $\mathcal{E}^{(\mu)} := \{e \in \mathcal{E} : e = [v, w], v \in V^{(\mu-1)}, w \in V^{(\mu)}\}$, $\mu = \overline{1, \sigma}$ be the set of edges of order μ.

Each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$ is parameterized by the parameter $x \in [0, 1]$. It is convenient for us to choose the following orientation on each edge $e = [v, w] \in \mathcal{E}$: if $z = z(x) \in e$, then $z(0) = w$, $z(1) = v$, i.e. $x = 0$ corresponds to the end point w, and $x = 1$ corresponds to the initial point v. For definiteness we enumerate the vertices v_j as follows: $\Gamma := \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ are the boundary vertices, $v_{p+1} \in V^{(1)}$, and v_j, $j > p+1$ are enumerated in order of increasing $|v_j|$. We enumerate the edges similarly, namely: $e_j = [v_{j_k}, v_j]$, $j = \overline{1, r}$, $j_k < j$. In particular,

$E := \{e_1, \dots, e_{p+1}\}$ is the set of boundary edges, $e_{p+1} = [v_0, v_{p+1}]$. Clearly, $e_j \in \mathcal{E}^{(\mu)}$ iff $v_j \in V^{(\mu)}$. As an example see fig.1 where $r = 9$, $p = 5$, $\sigma = 4$.

A function Y on T may be represented as a vector $Y(x) = [y_j(x)]_{j\in J}$, $x \in [0,1]$, where $J := \{j : j = \overline{1,r}\}$, and the function $y_j(x)$ is defined on the edge e_j. Let $q = [q_j]_{j\in J}$ is an integrable complex-valued function on T which is called the potential. Consider the Sturm-Liouville equation on T:

$$-y_j''(x) + q_j(x)y_j(x) = \lambda y_j(x), \quad x \in [0,1], \tag{2.1}$$

where $j \in J$, λ is the spectral parameter, the functions $y_j(x)$, $y_j'(x)$ are absolutely continuous on $[0,1]$ and satisfy the following matching conditions in each internal vertex v_k, $k = \overline{p+1, r}$:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} y_k(0) &= a_{kj}y_j(1) \quad \text{for all} \quad e_j \in R(v_k), \\ y_k'(0) &= \sum_{e_j \in R(v_k)} \Big(a_{kj}^1 y_j'(1) + a_{kj}^0 y_j(1)\Big), \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.2}$$

where a_{kj}, a_{kj}^0, a_{kj}^1 are complex numbers, and $a_{kj}a_{kj}^1 \neq 0$. Assume that

$$r_k := \sum_{e_j \in R(v_k)} \frac{a_{kj}^1}{a_{kj}} \neq -1. \tag{2.3}$$

Condition (2.3) is called the regularity condition for matching. Sturm-Liouville operators on T which do not satisfy the regularity condition for matching (2.3), possess qualitatively different properties for the formulation and the investigation of direct inverse problems, and are not considered here; they require a separate investigation. We note that if $a_{kj} = a_{kj}^1 = 1$, $a_{kj}^0 = 0$ for all k, j, then the conditions (2.2) are called the standard conditions. For standard matching conditions, (2.3) is satisfied obviously. In electrical circuits, (2.2) expresses Kirchhoff's law; in elastic string network, it expresses the balance of tension, and so on.

Consider the linear forms in the boundary vertices v_j, $j \in \Gamma$:

$$U_{js}(Y) := h_{js}^1 Y'_{|v_j} + h_{js}^0 Y_{|v_j}, \quad s = 0, 1, \ j = \overline{0,p},$$

where h_{js}^ν are complex numbers such that $\det[h_{js}^\nu]_{s,\nu=0,1} \neq 0$. Denote by B the boundary value problem for equation (2.1) with the matching conditions (2.2) and with the boundary conditions $U_{j0}(Y) = 0$, $j = \overline{0,p}$. We also will consider the boundary value problems B_k, $k = \overline{0,p}$, for equation (2.1) with the matching conditions (2.2) and with the boundary conditions $U_{k1}(Y) = 0$, $U_{j0}(Y) = 0$, $j = \overline{0,p} \setminus k$.

Let $\Psi_k(x,\lambda) = [\psi_{kj}(x,\lambda)]_{j\in J}$, $k = \overline{0,p}$, be solutions of equation (2.1) satisfying (2.2) and the boundary conditions

$$U_{j0}(\Psi_k) = \delta_{jk}, \quad j = \overline{0,p}, \tag{2.4}$$

where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker symbol. The function Ψ_k is called the Weyl solution with respect to the boundary vertex v_k. Denote $M(\lambda) = [M_k(\lambda)]_{k=\overline{1,p}}$, where $M_k(\lambda) := U_{k1}(\Psi_k)$. The functions $M_k(\lambda)$ are called the Weyl functions, and $M(\lambda)$ is called the Weyl vector. We also consider the extended Weyl vector $M^0(\lambda) = [M_k(\lambda)]_{k=\overline{0,p}}$ and the matrix $\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = [M_{jk}(\lambda)]_{j,k=\overline{0,p}}$, where $M_{jk}(\lambda) := U_{j1}(\Psi_k)$. The vector $M^0(\lambda)$ is the main diagonal of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$, and the vector $M(\lambda)$ is a part of this diagonal.

For definiteness, we will consider the case when $U_{j0}(Y) = Y'_{|v_j} + h_j Y_{|v_j}$, $U_{j1}(Y) = Y_{|v_j}$, i.e. $h_{j0}^1 = h_{j1}^0 = 1$, $h_{j1}^1 = 0$, $h_{j0}^0 = h_j$. Other cases are treated similarly. Let $\varphi_j(x,\lambda)$, $S_j(x,\lambda)$, $j \in J$, $x \in [0,1]$ be solutions of equation (2.1) on the edge e_j under the initial conditions $\varphi_j(0,\lambda) = S_j'(0,\lambda) = 1$, $\varphi_j'(0,\lambda) = -h_j$, $S_j(0,\lambda) = 0$. For each fixed x, the functions $\varphi_j^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda)$ and $S_j^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda)$, $\nu = 0, 1$, are entire in λ of order $1/2$. Moreover, $\langle \varphi_j(x,\lambda), S_j(x,\lambda)\rangle \equiv 1$, where

$\langle y, z\rangle := yz' - y'z$ is the Wronskian of y and z. Denote $M^1_{kj}(\lambda) = \psi_{kj}(0,\lambda)$, $M^0_{kj}(\lambda) = \psi'_{kj}(0,\lambda) + h_j\psi_{kj}(0,\lambda)$. Then

$$\psi_{kj}(x,\lambda) = M^0_{kj}(\lambda)S_j(x,\lambda) + M^1_{kj}(\lambda)\varphi_j(x,\lambda). \tag{2.5}$$

In particular, for $k = \overline{1,p}$, we have $M^1_{kk}(\lambda) = M_k(\lambda)$, $M^0_{kk}(\lambda) = 1$, $M^0_{kj}(\lambda) = 0$ for $j = \overline{1,p}\backslash k$, and consequently,

$$\psi_{kk}(x,\lambda) = S_k(x,\lambda) + M_k(\lambda)\varphi_k(x,\lambda), \quad k = \overline{1,p}. \tag{2.6}$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.2) and (2.4) we obtain a linear algebraic system s_k with respect to $M^s_{kj}(\lambda)$. The determinant $\Delta(\lambda)$ of this system is an entire function of order $1/2$, and the zeros of $\Delta(\lambda)$ coincide with the eigenvalues $\Lambda = \{\lambda_l\}_{l\ge 0}$ of the boundary value problem B. The function $\Delta(\lambda)$ is called the characteristic function for B. Solving the system s_k we get by Cramer's rule: $M^s_{kj}(\lambda) = \Delta^s_{kj}(\lambda)/\Delta(\lambda)$, $s = 0, 1$, $j = \overline{1,r}$, where the determinant $\Delta^s_{kj}(\lambda)$ is obtained from $\Delta(\lambda)$ by the replacement of the column which corresponds to $M^s_{kj}(\lambda)$ by the column of the free terms. In particular,

$$M_k(\lambda) = \Delta_k(\lambda)/\Delta(\lambda), \quad k = \overline{1,p}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\Delta_k(\lambda) := \Delta^0_{kk}(\lambda)$. The function $\Delta_k(\lambda)$ is entire in λ of order $1/2$, and its zeros coincide with the eigenvalues $\Lambda_k = \{\lambda_{lk}\}_{l\ge 0}$ of the boundary value problem B_k. This function is called the characteristic function for B_k. The eigenvalues λ_l and λ_{lk} lie in the strip $\{\lambda : |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \le c_1,\ \operatorname{Re}\lambda \ge c_0$ for some c_0, c_1. It follows from (2.7) that the Weyl functions $M_k(\lambda)$ are meromorphic in λ with the poles $\{\lambda_l\}_{l\ge 0}$. If all poles are simple we can introduce the data $S := \{\lambda_l, \alpha_{lk}\}_{l\ge 0,\, k=\overline{1,p}}$, where α_{lk} are residues of $M_k(\lambda)$ at λ_l; the data S are called the spectral data for B. We note that it is also possible to define the spectral data in the case of multiple poles (as the coefficients of the main part of Laurent's series for the Weyl functions), but for simplicity here we confine ourselves to the case of simple poles. In particular, in the self-adjoint case (when q and h are real) the Weyl functions always have only simple poles.

We study three inverse problems of recovering the potential $q = [q_j]_{j\in J}$ and the coefficients $h = [h_j]_{j\in J}$ from the following spectral characteristics:

Inverse problem 2.1. Given the Weyl vector $M = [M_k]_{k=\overline{1,p}}$, construct $q = [q_j]_{j\in J}$ and $h = [h_j]_{j\in J}$.

Inverse problem 2.2. Given the system of $p+1$ spectra: Λ and Λ_k, $k = \overline{1,p}$, construct $q = [q_j]_{j\in J}$ and $h = [h_j]_{j\in J}$.

Inverse problem 2.3. From the given spectral data S find $q = [q_j]_{j\in J}$ and $h = [h_j]_{j\in J}$.

For each of these inverse problems we provide a constructive procedure for the solution and prove its uniqueness (see section 2.3). We note that the notion of the Weyl vector M is a generalization of the notion of the Weyl function (m-function) for the classical Sturm-Liouville operator [12], and Inverse Problem 2.1 is a generalization of the classical inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operator on an interval from the Weyl function, and (which is equivalent) from the spectral measure. Inverse Problem 2.2 is a generalization of Borg's inverse problem for the Sturm-Liouville operator on an interval from two spectra. Inverse Problem 2.3 is a generalization of the classical Marchenko's inverse problem for the Sturm-Liouville operator on an interval (see [12] for details).

2.2. Properties of spectral characteristics. Let $\lambda = \rho^2$, $\operatorname{Im}\rho \ge 0$. Denote $\Lambda := \{\rho : \operatorname{Im}\rho \ge 0\}$, $\Lambda_\delta := \{\rho : \arg\rho \in [\delta, \pi - \delta]\}$, $\delta > 0$. The following theorem describes asymptotical properties of the Weyl solutions [21].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $e_j \in \mathcal{E}^{(\mu)}$. Then for $\nu = 0, 1$, $\rho \in \Lambda_\delta$, $|\rho| \to \infty$, uniformly in $x \in [0,1]$, one has*

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{0j}(x,\lambda) = B_j(\rho)\exp(i\rho\mu)\Big((-i\rho)^{\nu-1}\exp(-i\rho x)[1] - (i\rho)^{\nu-1}d_j\exp(i\rho x)[1]\Big), \tag{2.8}$$

where $[1] = 1 + O(\rho^{-1})$, $d_j = 1$ *for* $j = \overline{1,p}$, *and* $d_j = (1+r_j)^{-1}(1-r_j)$ *for* $j = \overline{p+1,r}$. *Moreover, for* $\rho \in \Lambda_\delta$, $|\rho| \to \infty$, *one has* $B_j(\rho) = b_j[1]$, $b_j \neq 0$, $b_{p+1} = 1$. *In particular, for* $\rho \in \Lambda_\delta$, $|\rho| \to \infty$,

$$\psi_{0j}^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda) = (-i\rho)^{\nu-1} b_j \exp(i\rho(\mu - x))[1], \quad \nu = 0,1,\ x \in (0,1]. \tag{2.9}$$

Symmetrically to (2.8)-(2.9), one can get the asymptotics for all other Weyl solutions Ψ_k, $k = \overline{1,p}$. In particular, for $k = \overline{1,p}$, $\nu = 0,1$, one has

$$\psi_{kk}^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda) = (i\rho)^{\nu-1}\exp(i\rho x)[1],\ M_k(\lambda) = (i\rho)^{-1}[1],\ \rho \in \Lambda_\delta,\ |\rho| \to \infty,\ x \in [0,1). \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover,

$$\varphi_k^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\Big((i\rho)^\nu \exp(i\rho x)[1] + (-i\rho)^\nu \exp(-i\rho x)[1]\Big), \quad |\rho| \to \infty. \tag{2.11}$$

Fix $k = \overline{1,p}$, and consider the following auxiliary inverse problem on the edge e_k, which is called IP(k).

IP(k). Given $M_k(\lambda)$, construct $q_k(x)$, $x \in [0,1]$ and h_k.

In the inverse problem IP(k) we construct the potential on the edge e_k, but the Weyl function $M_k(\lambda)$ brings global information from the whole graph. Therefore, IP(k) is not a local inverse problem related only to the edge e_k. Let us prove the uniqueness of the solution of the inverse problem IP(k). For this purpose together with T we consider a tree $\tilde{T}$ of the same form but with different $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{h}$. Everywhere below if a symbol α denotes an object related to T, then $\tilde{\alpha}$ will denote the analogous object related to $\tilde{T}$.

Theorem 2.5. *If* $M_k(\lambda) = \tilde{M}_k(\lambda)$, *then* $q_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x)$ *a.e. on [0,1], and* $h_k = \tilde{h}_k$. *Thus, the specification of the Weyl function* M_k *uniquely determines the potential* q_k *on the edge* e_k *and the coefficient* h_k.

Proof. We introduce the functions

$$P_{1s}^k(x,\lambda) = (-1)^{s-1}\Big(\varphi_k(x,\lambda)\tilde{\psi}_{kk}^{(2-s)}(x,\lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}_k^{(2-s)}(x,\lambda)\psi_{kk}(x,\lambda)\Big), \quad s = 1,2. \tag{2.12}$$

It follows from (2.6) that $\langle \varphi_k(x,\lambda), \psi_{kk}(x,\lambda)\rangle \equiv 1$. Then, by direct calculations we get

$$\varphi_k(x,\lambda) = P_{11}^k(x,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_k(x,\lambda) + P_{12}^k(x,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_k'(x,\lambda). \tag{2.13}$$

Using (2.10)-(2.12) we obtain

$$P_{1s}^k(x,\lambda) = \delta_{1s} + O(\rho^{-1}), \quad \rho \in \Lambda_\delta,\ |\rho| \to \infty,\ x \in (0,1]. \tag{2.14}$$

According to (2.6) and (2.12),

$$P_{1s}^k = (-1)^{s-1}((\varphi_k \tilde{S}_k^{(2-s)} - S_k\tilde{\varphi}_k^{(2-s)}) + (\tilde{M}_k - M_k)\varphi_k\tilde{\varphi}_k^{(2-s)}).$$

Since $M_k(\lambda) = \tilde{M}_k(\lambda)$, it follows that for each fixed x, the functions $P_{1s}^k(x,\lambda)$ are entire in λ. Together with (2.14) this yields $P_{11}^k(x,\lambda) \equiv 1$, $P_{12}^k(x,\lambda) \equiv 0$. Substituting this relations into (2.13) we get $\varphi_k(x,\lambda) \equiv \tilde{\varphi}_k(x,\lambda)$ for all x and λ, and consequently, $q_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x)$ a.e. on $[0,1]$, and $h_k = \tilde{h}_k$. □

Using the method of spectral mappings [11] for the Sturm-Liouville operator on the edge e_k one can get a constructive procedure for the solution of the local inverse problem IP(k); for details see [11], [21].

Problem $Z(T, v_0, a)$. Let $\Psi = [\psi_j]_{j\in J}$ be the solution of equation (2.1) on T satisfying (2.2) and the boundary conditions

$$\Psi_{|v_0} = a, \quad U_{j0}(\Psi) = 0,\ j = \overline{1,p}, \tag{2.15}$$

where a is a complex number. Denote $m_j^1(\lambda) = \psi_j(0,\lambda)$, $m_j^0(\lambda) = \psi_j'(0,\lambda) + h_j\psi_j(0,\lambda)$, $j \in J$. Then

$$\psi_j(x,\lambda) = m_j^0(\lambda)S_j(x,\lambda) + m_j^1(\lambda)\varphi_j(x,\lambda). \tag{2.16}$$

Substituting (2.16) into (2.2) and (2.15) we obtain a linear algebraic system with respect to $m_j^s(\lambda)$, $j \in J$. The determinant of this system is $\Delta_0(\lambda)$. Solving this system we find the transition matrix $[m_j^0(\lambda), m_j^1(\lambda)]_{j\in J}$ for T with respect to v_0 and a. The problem of calculating the transition matrix $[m_j^0(\lambda), m_j^1(\lambda)]_{j\in J}$ is called Problem $Z(T, v_0, a)$. This problem will be used below for describing the procedure for the solution of the inverse problems.

Let us introduce the Weyl solutions for internal vertices. Fix $v_k \in V$. Denote $T_k^0 := \{z \in T : v_k < z\}$, $T_k := T \setminus T_k^0$. Clearly, T_k is a tree with the root v_0. Let Γ_k be the set of boundary vertices of T_k, and let E_k be the set of boundary edges of T_k. Denote $J_k := \{j : e_j \in T_k\}$. If $Y = [y_j]_{j\in J}$ is a function on T, then $\{Y\}_k := [y_j]_{j\in J_k}$ is a function on T_k.

Fix $v_k \notin \Gamma$ (i.e. $k = \overline{p+1, r}$). Let $\Psi_k(x,\lambda) = [\psi_{kj}(x,\lambda)]_{j\in J_k}$ be the solution of equation (2.1) on T_k satisfying (2.2) and the boundary conditions $U_{j0}(\Psi_k) = \delta_{kj}$, $v_j \in \Gamma_k$, where $U_{k0}(Y) = Y'_{|v_k} + h_k Y_{|v_k}$, and h_k is a real number. The function Ψ_k is the Weyl solution of (2.1) on T_k with respect to the vertex v_k. Denote by $M_k(\lambda) := \psi_{kk}(0,\lambda)$, $k = \overline{p+1, r}$ the Weyl functions for T_k with respect to v_k.

Fix $v_m \notin \Gamma$. Let $e_k = [v_m, v_k] \in R(v_m)$. Then

$$M_m(\lambda) = a_{mk}(A_{mk}(\lambda))^{-1}\psi_{kk}(1,\lambda), \tag{2.17}$$

$$A_{mk}(\lambda) = \sum_{e_j\in R(v_m)} a_{mj}^1\psi_{kj}'(1,\lambda) + a_{mk}\Big(h_m + \sum_{e_j\in R(v_m)} \frac{a_{mj}^0}{a_{mj}}\Big)\psi_{kk}(1,\lambda). \tag{2.18}$$

Denote $M_{kj}^1(\lambda) = \psi_{kj}(0,\lambda)$, $M_{kj}^0(\lambda) = \psi_{kj}'(0,\lambda) + h_j\psi_{kj}(0,\lambda)$ for $k = \overline{p+1, r}$, $j \in J_k$. Then (2.5) and (2.6) are valid for $k = \overline{1, r}$, $j \in J_k$, where $J_k = J$ for $k = \overline{1, p}$. In particular, this yields

$$\psi_{kj}^{(\nu)}(1,\lambda) = M_{kj}^0(\lambda)S_j^{(\nu)}(1,\lambda) + M_{kj}^1(\lambda)\varphi_j^{(\nu)}(1,\lambda), \quad \nu = 0,1,\ k = \overline{1,r},\ j \in J_k, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\psi_{kk}^{(\nu)}(1,\lambda) = S_k^{(\nu)}(1,\lambda) + M_k(\lambda)\varphi_k^{(\nu)}(1,\lambda), \quad \nu = 0,1,\ k = \overline{1,r}. \tag{2.20}$$

2.3. Solution of the inverse problems. First consider Inverse problem 2.1. Let the Weyl vector $M(\lambda) = [M_k(\lambda)]_{k=\overline{1,p}}$ for the tree T be given. The procedure for the solution of Inverse Problem 1 consists in the realization of the so-called A_μ - procedures successively for $\mu = \sigma, \sigma-1, \dots, 1$, where σ is the height of the tree T. Let us describe A_μ - procedures.

$\mathbf{A}_\sigma$ - procedure. 1) For each edge $e_k \in \mathcal{E}^{(\sigma)}$, we solve the local inverse problem IP(k) and find $q_k(x)$, $x \in [0,1]$ on the edge e_k and h_k.

2) For each $e_k \in \mathcal{E}^{(\sigma)}$, we construct $\varphi_k(x,\lambda)$, $S_k(x,\lambda)$, $x \in [0,1]$, and calculate $\psi_{kk}^{(\nu)}(1,\lambda)$, $\nu = 0,1$, by (2.20).

3) *Returning procedure.* For each fixed $v_m \in V^{(\sigma-1)} \setminus \Gamma$ and for all $e_j, e_k \in R(v_m)$, $j \neq k$, we construct $M_{kj}^s(\lambda)$, $s = 0,1$, by the formulae

$$M_{kj}^0(\lambda) = 0,\ M_{kj}^1(\lambda) = a_{mk}\psi_{kk}(1,\lambda)(a_{mj}\varphi_j(1,\lambda))^{-1}, \quad e_j, e_k \in R(v_m),\ j \neq k.$$

4) For each fixed $v_m \in V^{(\sigma-1)} \setminus \Gamma$ we calculate the Weyl function $M_m(\lambda)$ by (2.17), where $A_{mk}(\lambda)$ and $\psi_{kj}'(1,\lambda)$ are constructed via (2.18) and (2.19).

Now we carry out A_μ - procedures for $\mu = \overline{1, \sigma-1}$ by induction. Fix $\mu = \overline{1, \sigma-1}$, and suppose that $A_\sigma, \dots, A_{\mu+1}$ - procedures have been already carried out.

A_μ - procedure. For each $v_k \in V^{(\mu)}$, the Weyl functions $M_k(\lambda)$ are given. Indeed, if $v_k \in V^{(\mu)} \cap \Gamma$, then $M_k(\lambda)$ are given a priori, and if $v_k \in V^{(\mu)} \setminus \Gamma$, then $M_k(\lambda)$ were calculated on the previous steps according to $A_\sigma, \dots, A_{\mu+1}$ - procedures.

1) For each edge $e_k \in \mathcal{E}^{(\mu)}$, we solve the local inverse problem IP(k) and find $q_k(x)$, $x \in [0,1]$ on the edge e_k and h_k. If $\mu = 1$, then Inverse Problem 2.1 is solved, and we stop our calculations. If $\mu > 1$, we go on to the next step.

2) For each $e_k \in \mathcal{E}^{(\mu)}$, we construct $\varphi_k(x,\lambda)$, $S_k(x,\lambda)$, $x \in [0,1]$, and calculate $\psi_{kk}^{(\nu)}(1,\lambda)$, $\nu = 0, 1$, by (2.20).

3) *Returning procedure.* For each fixed $v_m \in V^{(\mu-1)} \setminus \Gamma$ and for any fixed $e_k, e_i \in R(v_m)$, $i \neq k$, we consider the tree $T_i^1 := T_i^0 \cup \{e_i\}$ with the root v_m. Solving the problem $Z(T_i^1, v_m, \psi_{kk}(1,\lambda))$, we calculate the transition matrix $[M_{kj}^0(\lambda), M_{kj}^1(\lambda)]$ for $e_j \in T_i^1$.

4) For each fixed $v_m \in V^{(\mu-1)} \setminus \Gamma$ we calculate the Weyl function $M_m(\lambda)$ by (2.17), where $A_{mk}(\lambda)$ and $\psi'_{kj}(1,\lambda)$ are constructed via (2.18) and (2.19).

Thus, we have obtained the solution of Inverse Problem 2.1 and proved its uniqueness, i.e. the following assertion holds.

Theorem 2.6. *The specification of the Weyl vector M uniquely determines the potential q on T and h. Solution of Inverse Problem 2.1 can be obtained by executing successively $A_\sigma, A_{\sigma-1}, \dots, A_1$ –procedures.*

Consider now Inverse Problem 2.2. Let the spectra Λ and Λ_k $k = \overline{1,p}$ be given. By Hadamard's theorem, the functions $\Delta(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_k(\lambda)$ are uniquely determined up to multiplicative constants by their zeros:

$$\Delta(\lambda) = C \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_l}\Big), \quad \Delta_k(\lambda) = C_k \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{lk}}\Big)$$

(the case when $\Delta(0) = 0$ or/and $\Delta_k(0) = 0$ requires minor modifications). Then, by virtue of (2.7),

$$M_k(\lambda) = m_k \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{lk}}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_l}\Big)^{-1}, \quad k = \overline{1,p}, \tag{2.21}$$

where m_k is a constant. Using (2.10) we obtain

$$m_k = \lim_{|\rho| \to \infty} (i\rho)^{-1} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_l}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{lk}}\Big)^{-1}, \quad \rho \in \Lambda_\delta,\ k = \overline{1,p}. \tag{2.22}$$

Thus, using the given spectra, one can construct uniquely the Weyl vector $M(\lambda) = [M_k(\lambda)]_{k=\overline{1,p}}$ by (2.21) and (2.22). In other words, the solution of Inverse Problem 2.2 is reduced to the solution of Inverse Problem 2.1, and the following assertion holds.

Theorem 2.7. *The specification of the spectra Λ and Λ_k, $k = \overline{1,p}$ uniquely determines the potential q on T and h. For constructing the solution of Inverse Problem 2.2 we calculate the Weyl vector M by (2.21)-(2.22), and then construct q and h by solving Inverse Problem 2.1.*

Consider now Inverse Problem 2.3. Let all poles of $M(\lambda)$ are simple, and let the spectral data S be given. Then it is possible the Weyl functions via

$$M_k(\lambda) = \sum_l \frac{\alpha_{lk}}{\lambda - \lambda_l}, \tag{2.23}$$

where the series in (2.23) converge "with brackets"[21]. Thus, the solution of Inverse Problem 2.3 is reduced to the solution of Inverse Problem 2.1, and the following assertion holds.

Theorem 2.8. *The specification of the spectral data S uniquely determines the potential q on T and h. For constructing the solution of Inverse Problem 2.3 we calculate the Weyl vector M by (2.23), and then construct q and h by solving Inverse Problem 2.1.*

Above mentioned results of section 2 are obtained in [14], [21].

Remark 2.9. Consider the extended Weyl vector $M^0(\lambda) = [M_k(\lambda)]_{k=\overline{0,p}}$, which include additional Weyl function related to the root of the tree. For the self-adjoint case the specification of $M^0(\lambda)$ is equivalent to the specification of the corresponding extended spectral data $S^0 := \{\lambda_l, \alpha_{lk}\}_{l\ge 0,\, k=\overline{0,p}}$, where α_{lk} are residues of the function $M_k(\lambda)$ at λ_l. In [13] the uniqueness theorem of recovering the potential from the extended spectral data S^0 was proved for the self-adjoint case and for the standard matching conditions and the Dirichlet boundary conditions. In [15] the uniqueness theorem from the matrix $\mathcal{M}(\lambda) := [M_{jk}(\lambda)]_{j,k=\overline{0,p}}$ was proved. Clearly, $M^0(\lambda)$ is the diagonal of the matrix $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$, and the Weyl vector is a part of this diagonal. Therefore, the inverse problems from $M^0(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ are overdetermined. We also note that in [22] a particular case of inverse problem from 1 [21] is considered, and the uniqueness theorem was proved, which coincides with the uniqueness theorem from [14], and also calculation of the lengths of the edges from the matrix $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ is discussed.

3. Sturm-Liouville operators on compact graphs with cycles

In this section we present results on inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on compact graphs with cycles. The presence of cycles makes the investigation of the inverse problems more complicated. Therefore, the solutions of inverse problems for graphs with cycles were obtained later than for trees. In subsection 3.1 of this review paper we consider the so-called bush-graph, i.e. an arbitrary graph having only one cycle. Such graphs have a specific character for studying inverse problems. In subsection 3.2 we investigate Sturm-Liouville operators on an arbitrary compact graph. We give the statement of the inverse problem, obtain an algorithm for constructing its solution and establish the uniqueness of the solution.

3.1. Inverse problems on the bush-graph. Consider a compact graph G in $\mathbf{R}^\ell$ with the set of edges $\mathcal{E} = \{e_0, \dots, e_r\}$ and the set of vertices $W = V \cup U$, where $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_N\}$. The graph has the form $G = e_0 \cup T$, where e_0 is a cycle, $u_i \in e_0$, $i = \overline{1,N}$, $v_j \notin e_0$, $j = \overline{1,r}$, $T \cap e_0 = U$, $T = \bigcup_{j=1}^m T_j$, and T_j is a tree with a root from U and with one rooted edge from $\mathcal{E}$. The set T consists of N groups of trees:

$$T = Q_1 \dots Q_N, \quad Q_i \cap e_0 = u_i,$$

i.e. all trees from Q_i have the common root u_i. Let m_i be the number of trees in the block Q_i; hence $m_1 + \dots + m_N = m$. Denote $s_0 = 1$, $s_i = m_1 + \dots + m_i$, $i = \overline{1,N}$. Then

$$Q_i = \bigcup_{j=s_{i-1}+1}^{s_i} T_j, \ , \qquad \bigcap_{j=s_{i-1}+1}^{s_i} T_j = u_i, \ i = \overline{1,N}.$$

Fix $i = \overline{1,N}$, $j = \overline{1,m}$, and consider the tree $T_j \in Q_i$. For two points $a, b \in T_j$ we will write $a \le b$ if a lies on a unique simple path connecting the root u_i with b. We will write $a < b$ if $a \le b$ and $a \ne b$. If $a < b$ we denote $[a,b] := \{z \in T_j : \ a \le z \le b\}$. In particular, if $e = [v,w]$ is an edge, we call v its initial point, w its end point and say that e emanates from v and terminates at w. For each vertex $v \in T_j$ we denote by $R(v) := \{e \in T_j : \ e = [v,w],\, w \in T_j\}$ the set of edges emanated from v. For each $v \in T_j \cap V$ we denote by $|v_j|$ the number of edges between u_i and v. The number $|v|$ is called the order of v. The cycle e_0 consists of N parts:

$$e_0 = e_1^0 \dots e_N^0, \quad e_i^0 = [u_i, u_{i+1}],\ i = \overline{1,N},\ u_{N+1} := u_1.$$

For definiteness we enumerate the vertices $v_j \in V$ as follows: $\Gamma := \{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ are boundary vertices of G, and v_j, $j > p$ are enumerated in order of increasing $|v_j|$. We enumerate the edges similarly, namely: $e_j = [v_{j_k}, v_j]$, $j = \overline{1,r}$, $j_k < j$. In particular, $E := \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$ is the set of boundary edges of G.



fig. 3.1

Let d_j be the length of the edge e_j, $j = \overline{0,r}$. Each edge e_j, $j = \overline{0,r}$ is viewed as a segment $[0, d_j]$ and is parameterized by the parameter $x_j \in [0, d_j]$. It is convenient for us to choose the following orientation: for $j = \overline{1,r}$ the end vertex v_j corresponds to $x_j = 0$, and the initial vertex corresponds to $x_j = d_j$; for the cycle e_0 both ends $x_0 = +0$ and $x_0 = d_0 - 0$ correspond to the point u_1. Let d_i^0 be the length of e_i^0. Then $d_0 = d_1^0 + \cdots + d_N^0$. Each part e_i^0 ($i = \overline{1,N}$) of e_0 is parameterized by the parameter $\xi_i \in [0, d_i^0]$, where $\xi_i = 0$ corresponds to the point u_i, and $\xi_i = d_i^0$ corresponds to u_{i+1}. As an example see figure 3.1 where $N = 3$, $r = 15$, $p = 11$, $\sigma = 3$, $m = 6$, $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 = 2$, $m_3 = 3$.

A function Y on G may be represented as $Y = \{y_j\}_{j=\overline{0,r}}$, where the function $y_j(x_j)$, $x_j \in [0, d_j]$, is defined on the edge e_j. The function y_0 may be represented as $y_0 = \{y_i^0\}_{i=\overline{1,N}}$, where the function $y_i^0(\xi_i)$, $\xi_i \in [0, d_i^0]$, is defined on e_i^0. Let $q = \{q_j\}_{j=\overline{0,r}}$ be an integrable real-valued function on G; q is called the potential. Consider the differential equation on G:

$$-y_j''(x_j) + q_j(x_j)y_j(x_j) = \lambda y_j(x_j), \quad x_j \in [0, d_j], \tag{3.1}$$

where $j = \overline{0,r}$, λ is the spectral parameter, the functions y_j, y_j', $j = \overline{0,r}$, are absolutely continuous on $[0, d_j]$ and satisfy the following matching conditions in the internal vertices u_i, $i = \overline{1,N}$ and v_k, $k = \overline{p+1,r}$: For $k = \overline{p+1,r}$,

$$y_j(d_j) = y_k(0) \text{ for all } e_j \in R(v_k), \qquad \sum_{e_j \in R(v_k)} y_j'(d_j) = y_k'(0), \tag{3.2}$$

and for $i = \overline{1,N}$,

$$y_i^0(0) = y_{i-1}^0(d_{i-1}^0) = (Y_j)_{|u_i} \text{ for all } T_j \in Q_i, \qquad (y_i^0)'(0) = (y_{i-1}^0)'(d_{i-1}^0) + \sum_{T_j \in Q_i} (Y_j)'_{|u_i}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $y_0^0 := y_N^0$, $d_0^0 := d_N^0$, $Y_j := \{Y\}_{T_j}$. Matching conditions (3.2)-(3.3) are called the standard conditions. Let us consider the boundary value problem $L_0(G)$ for equation (3.1) with the matching conditions (3.2)-(3.3) and with the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the boundary vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_p$:

$$y_j(0) = 0, \quad j = \overline{1,p}.$$

Denote by $\Lambda_0 = \{\lambda_{n0}\}_{n \geq 1}$ the eigenvalues (counting with multiplicities) of $L_0(G)$. We also consider the boundary value problems $L_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_\gamma}(G)$, $\gamma = \overline{1,p}$, $1 \leq \nu_1 < \ldots \nu_\gamma \leq p$ for equation (3.1) with the matching conditions (3.2)-(3.3) and with the boundary conditions

$$y_i'(0) = 0,\ i = \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_\gamma, \quad y_j(0) = 0,\ j = \overline{1,p},\ j \neq \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_\gamma.$$

Denote by $\Lambda_{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_\gamma} := \{\lambda_{n,\nu_1,\dots,\nu_\gamma}\}_{n\ge1}$ the eigenvalues (counting with multiplicities) of the boundary value problem $L_{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_\gamma}(G)$. Let $S_j(x_j,\lambda)$, $C_j(x_j,\lambda)$, $j=\overline{0,r}$, $x_j\in[0,d_j]$, be the solutions of equation (3.1) on the edge e_j with the initial conditions $S_j(0,\lambda)=C_j'(0,\lambda)=0$, $S_j'(0,\lambda)=C_j(0,\lambda)=1$. Denote $h(\lambda):=S_0(d_0,\lambda)$, $H(\lambda):=C_0(d_0,\lambda)-S_0'(d_0,\lambda)$. Let $\{z_n\}_{n\ge1}$ be zeros of the entire function $h(\lambda)$, and put $\omega_n := \operatorname{sign} H(z_n)$, $\Omega=\{\omega_n\}_{n\ge1}$.

We choose and fix one boundary vertex $v_{\xi_i}\in Q_i$ from each block Q_i, $i=\overline{1,N}$, i.e. $s_{i-1}+1\le\xi_i\le s_i$. Denote by $\xi:=\{k:\ k=\xi_1,\dots,\xi_N\}$ the set of indices ξ_i, $i=\overline{1,N}$. The inverse problem is formulated as follows.

Inverse problem 3.1. Given 2^N+p-N spectra Λ_j, $j=\overline{0,p}$, $\Lambda_{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_\gamma}$, $\gamma=\overline{2,N}$, $1\le\nu_1<\ldots<\nu_\gamma\le p$, $\nu_j\in\xi$, and Ω, construct the potential q on G.

Theorem 3.2. *The specification of* Λ_j, $j=\overline{0,p}$, $\Lambda_{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_\gamma}$, $\gamma=\overline{2,N}$, $1\le\nu_1<\ldots<\nu_\gamma\le p$, $\nu_j\in\xi$, *and* Ω, *uniquely determines the potential* q *on* G.

This uniqueness theorem is proved in [16], where an algorithm for the solution of inverse problem 3.1 is also provided.

Examples. 1) Let $N=1$. Then we specify $p+1$ spectra Λ_j, $j=\overline{0,p}$, and Ω.
2) Let $\sigma=1$, $N=r$. Then we specify 2^N spectra Λ_0, $\Lambda_{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_\gamma}$, $\gamma=\overline{1,N}$, $1\le\nu_1<\ldots\nu_\gamma\le N$, and Ω.
3) Consider the graph on figure 3.2. Then $N=2$, $p=4$, $r=5$, $\sigma=2$, $m=3$, $m_1=1$, $m_2=2$. Fix $j=2\vee3\vee4$. Then we specify Ω and the following 6 spectra: $\Lambda_0,\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,\Lambda_3,\Lambda_4,\Lambda_{1j}$.
4) Consider the graph on figure 3.1. Then $N=3$, $p=11$, $r=15$, $\sigma=3$, $m=6$, $m_1=1$, $m_2=2$, $m_3=3$. Take, for example, $\xi_1=1$, $\xi_2=3$, $\xi_3=6$. Then we specify Ω and the following spectra: $\Lambda_{13},\Lambda_{16},\Lambda_{36},\Lambda_j,j=\overline{0,11}$.



fig. 3.2

3.2. Inverse problems on an arbitrary compact graph. Consider a compact connected graph G in $\mathbf{R}^\ell$ with the set of edges $\mathcal{E}=\{e_1,\dots,e_s\}$, the set of vertices $\mathcal{V}=\{v_1,\dots,v_m\}$, and with the map σ which assings to each edge $e_j\in\mathcal{E}$ an ordered pair of (possibly equal) vertices: $\sigma(e_j):=[u_{2j-1},u_{2j}]$, $u_j\in\mathcal{V}$. The vertices $u_{2j-1}=:\sigma^-(e_j)$ and $u_{2j}=:\sigma^+(e_j)$ are called the *initial* and *terminal* vertices of e_j, respectively. We will say that the edge e_j *emanates* from u_{2j-1} and *terminates* at u_{2j}. The points $U:=\{u_j\}_{j=\overline{1,2s}}$ are called the *endpoints* for $\mathcal{E}$. Each vertex $v\in\mathcal{V}$ generates the equivalence class (which is denoted by the same symbol v): $v=\{u_{j_1},\dots,u_{j_\nu}\}$ such that $v=u_{j_1}=\ldots=u_{j_\nu}$. In other words, the set of endpoints U is divided into m equivalence classes $v_1,\dots,v_m$. The number of endpoints in the class v_k is called the *valency* of v_k, and is denoted by $val\,(v_k)$. The vertex $v_k\in\mathcal{V}$ is called a *boundary vertex* if $val\,(v_k)=1$. All other vertices are called *internal*. Let $\mathcal{V}_0=\{v_1,\dots,v_p\}$ be the boundary vertices, and let $\mathcal{V}_1=\{v_{p+1},\dots,v_m\}$ be the internal vertices. The edge e_j is called a *boundary edge* if one of its endpoints belongs to $\mathcal{V}_0$. All other edges are called internal. Let $\mathcal{E}_0=\{e_1,\dots,e_p\}$ be the boundary edges, and $v_k\in e_k$ for $k=\overline{1,p}$. An edge $e_k\in\mathcal{E}$ is called

adjacent to $v \in \mathcal{V}$, if $v \in e_k$. Denote by $R(v, G)$ the set of edges of G which are adjacent to v. Let l_j be the length of the edge e_j. Each edge $e_j \in \mathcal{E}$ is parameterized by the parameter $x_j \in [0, l_j]$ such that the initial point u_{2j-1} corresponds to $x_j = 0$, and the terminal point u_{2j} corresponds to $x_j = l_j$.



fig. 3.3

A chain of edges $\{e_{\nu_1}, \dots, e_{k,\nu_\eta}\}$ is called a *cycle* if it forms a closed curve. The edge $e_j \in \mathcal{E}$ is called a *simple* edge, if it is not a part of cycles. In particular, all boundary edges $e_1, \dots, e_p$ are simple. We enumerate the edges as follows: $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ are simple edges, $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{e_{r+1}, \dots, e_s\}$ are the edges which form the set of cycles. For definiteness, let $p > 1$ (the cases $p = 0$ and $p = 1$ require slight modifications; see the remark at the end of section 3.2). Let us take the boundary vertex v_p as a root. The corresponding edge e_p is called the *rooted edge*. For definiteness, we agree that if $e_j \in \mathcal{E}_1$ is a simple edge, then the endpoint u_{2j} is nearer to the root than u_{2j-1}. If we contract each cycle to a point, then we get a new graph G^* with the set of edges $\mathcal{E}_1$. Clearly, G^* is a tree (i.e. a graph without cycles). Fix $e_k \in G^*$. The minimal number ω_k of edges of G^* between the rooted edge and e_k (including e_k) is called the *order* of e_k. The order of the rooted edge is equal to zero. The number $\omega := \max\limits_{e_k \in G^*} \omega_k$ is called the order of G^*. We denote by $\mathcal{E}^{(\mu)}$, $\mu = \overline{0, \omega}$, the set of simple edges of order μ.

For example, for the graph on fig. 3.3 we have $s = 10$, $m = 8$, $r = p = 4$, $\omega = 1$, $v_1 = \{u_1\}$, $v_2 = \{u_3\}$, $v_3 = \{u_5\}$, $v_4 = \{u_8\}$, $v_5 = \{u_4, u_6, u_{10}, u_{11}\}$, $v_6 = \{u_2, u_9, u_{12}, u_{16}, u_{17}\}$, $v_7 = \{u_7, u_{14}, u_{15}, u_{20}\}$, $v_8 = \{u_{13}, u_{18}, u_{19}\}$.

A function Y on G may be represented as $Y = \{y_j\}_{j=\overline{1,s}}$, where the function $y_j(x_j)$, $x_j \in [0, l_j]$, is defined on the edge e_j. Denote $Y_{|u_{2j-1}} := y_j(0)$, $Y_{|u_{2j}} := y_j(l_j)$, $\partial Y_{|u_{2j-1}} := y_j'(0)$, $\partial Y_{|u_{2j}} := -y_j'(l_j)$. If $v \in \mathcal{V}$, then $Y_{|v} = 0$ means $Y_{|u_j} = 0$ for all $u_j \in v$. Let $q = \{q_j\}_{j=\overline{1,s}}$ be an integrable real-valued function on G; q is called the potential. Consider the following differential equation on G:

$$-y_j''(x_j) + q_j(x_j) y_j(x_j) = \lambda y_j(x_j), \quad x_j \in [0, l_j], \tag{3.4}$$

where $j = \overline{1, s}$, λ is the spectral parameter, the functions y_j, y_j', $j = \overline{1, s}$, are absolutely continuous on $[0, l_j]$ and satisfy the following matching conditions (MC) in each internal vertex $v_\xi \in \mathcal{V}_1$:

$$Y_{|u_i} = Y_{|u_j} \text{ for all } u_i,\ u_j \in v_\xi, \qquad \sum_{u_i \in v_\xi} \partial Y_{|u_i} = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Fix $e_k \in \mathcal{E}_2$ and $\varepsilon_k = 0 \vee 1$. Denote $w_k := u_{2k-\varepsilon_k}$. If (3.5) holds for the set $U \setminus \{w_k\}$, we will call these conditions the w_k-MC.

Let us consider the boundary value problem $L_0(G)$ for equation (3.4) with MC (3.5) at the internal vertices $\mathcal{V}_1$ and with the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the boundary vertices $\mathcal{V}_0$:

$$Y_{|v_j} = 0, \quad j = \overline{1,p}. \tag{3.6}$$

We also consider the boundary value problems $L_k(G)$, $k = \overline{1,p-1}$, for equation (3.4) with MC (3.5) and with the boundary conditions $\partial Y_{|v_k} = 0$, $Y_{|v_j} = 0$, $j = \overline{1,p} \setminus k$. Let $\Lambda_k = \{\lambda_{kn}\}_{n\geq 1}$, $k = \overline{0,p-1}$, be the eigenvalues (counting with multiplicities) of $L_k(G)$.

Let $L_\nu^\xi(G)$, $\xi = \overline{r+1,s}$, $\nu = 0,1$, be the boundary value problem for equation (3.4) with w_ξ-MC and with the boundary conditions $\partial^\nu Y_{|w_\xi} = 0$, $Y_{|v_j} = 0$, $j = \overline{1,p}$, where $\partial^0 Y := Y$, $\partial^1 Y := \partial Y$. We denote by $\Lambda_\nu^\xi = \{\lambda_{\nu n}^\xi\}_{n\geq 1}$ the eigenvalues (counting with multiplicities) of $L_\nu^\xi(G)$. The inverse problem is formulated as follows.

Inverse problem 3.3. Given the spectra Λ_k, $k = \overline{0,p-1}$, and Λ_ν^ξ, $\xi = \overline{r+1,s}$, $\nu = 0,1$, construct the potential q on G.

For inverse problem 3.3 the uniqueness theorem is proved (Theorem 3.4), and a procedure for constructing the solution is obtained (Algorithm 3.6).

Theorem 3.4. *The specification of the spectra* Λ_k $k = \overline{0,p-1}$, Λ_ν^ξ $\xi = \overline{r+1,s}$, $\nu = 0,1$, *uniquely determines the potential* q *on* G.

Let $S_j(x_j,\lambda)$, $C_j(x_j,\lambda)$, $j = \overline{1,s}$, $x_j \in [0,l_j]$, be the solutions of equation (3.4) on the edge e_j with the initial conditions $S_j(0,\lambda) = C_j'(0,\lambda) = 0$, $S_j'(0,\lambda) = C_j(0,\lambda) = 1$. Let $Y = \{y_j\}_{j=\overline{1,s}}$ be a solution of equation (3.4) on G. Then

$$y_j(x_j,\lambda) = a_{j1}(\lambda)C_j(x_j,\lambda) + a_{j2}(\lambda)S_j(x_j,\lambda), \quad j = \overline{1,s}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $a_{j1}(\lambda)$ and $a_{j2}(\lambda)$ do not depend on x_j. Substituting (3.7) into (3.5) and (3.6), we get a linear algebraic system s_0 with respect to $a_{j1}(\lambda)$, $a_{j2}(\lambda)$, $j = \overline{1,s}$. The determinant $\Delta_0(\lambda,G)$ of system s_0 is an entire function of order $1/2$. The zeros of $\Delta_0(\lambda,G)$ coincide with the eigenvalues of $L_0(G)$. The function $\Delta_0(\lambda,G)$ is called the *characteristic function* for $L_0(G)$. Analogously we define the characteristic functions $\Delta_k(\lambda,G)$, $k = \overline{1,p-1}$ of the boundary value problems $L_k(G)$. The function $\Delta_k(\lambda,G)$ is obtained from $\Delta_0(\lambda,G)$ by the replacement of $S_k^{(\nu)}(l_k,\lambda)$, $\nu = 0,1$, with $C_k^{(\nu)}(l_k,\lambda)$. We denote by $\Delta_\nu^\xi(\lambda,G)$ the characteristic function of $L_\nu^\xi(G)$.

Let e_k, $k = \overline{p,r}$, be a fixed simple edge of G, and let $v = \sigma^-(e_k) \in \mathcal{V}$ be the initial point of e_k. The vertex v divides the graph G into two parts: $G = Q \cup \hat{G}$, where $Q \cap \hat{G} = v$, $\hat{G} \cap R(v,G) = e_k$. Consider the boundary value problem $L_0(Q,v)$ for equation (3.4) on Q with MC (3.5) for $v_\xi \in \mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \{v\}$ and with the boundary conditions $Y_{|v_j} = 0$, $v_j \in \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \{v\}$. Let $\Delta(\lambda,Q,v)$ be the characteristic function for $L_0(Q,v)$. Expanding the determinant $\Delta_0(\lambda,G)$ of system s_0 with respect to the columns corresponding to $a_{j1}(\lambda)$ and $a_{j2}(\lambda)$ for $e_j \in \hat{G}$, , we obtain the following relations.

1) Let v be an internal vertex of Q. Then

$$\Delta_0(\lambda,G) = \Delta_0(\lambda,\hat{G})\Delta_0(\lambda,Q) + \Delta_k(\lambda,\hat{G})\Delta_0(\lambda,Q,v), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\Delta_j(\lambda,G) = \Delta_0(\lambda,\hat{G})\Delta_j(\lambda,Q) + \Delta_k(\lambda,\hat{G})\Delta_j(\lambda,Q,v), \quad e_j \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap Q. \tag{3.9}$$

2) Let v be a boundary vertex of Q, i.e. $R(v,Q) =: e_i \in \mathcal{E}_1$ consists of one simple edqe e_i, and $v = \sigma^+(e_i)$. Then

$$\Delta_0(\lambda,G) = \Delta_0(\lambda,\hat{G})\Delta_i(\lambda,Q) + \Delta_k(\lambda,\hat{G})\Delta_0(\lambda,Q), \tag{3.10}$$

$$\Delta_j(\lambda,G) = \Delta_0(\lambda,\hat{G})\Delta_{ij}(\lambda,Q) + \Delta_k(\lambda,\hat{G})\Delta_j(\lambda,Q), \quad e_j \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap Q. \tag{3.11}$$

Here $\Delta_{ij}(\lambda, Q)$ is the characteristic function of the boundary value problem $L_{ij}(Q)$ which is obtained from $L_0(Q)$ by the replacement of the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the boundary vertices $\sigma^-(e_j)$ and $\sigma^+(e_i)$, with the Neumann conditions. Therefore, $\Delta_{ij}(\lambda, Q)$ is obtained from $\Delta_0(\lambda, Q)$ by the replacement of $S_j^{(\nu)}(l_j, \lambda)$, $\nu = 0, 1$, with $C_j^{(\nu)}(l_j, \lambda)$ and by the replacement of $S_i(l_i, \lambda)$, $C_i(l_i, \lambda)$, with $S_i'(l_i, \lambda)$, $C_i'(l_i, \lambda)$, respectively.

Let $\lambda = \rho^2$, $\operatorname{Im}\rho \geq 0$. Denote $\Lambda := \{\rho : \operatorname{Im}\rho \geq 0\}$, $\Lambda^\delta := \{\rho : \arg\rho \in [\delta, \pi - \delta]\}$. Let $\lambda_{kn}^0 = (\rho_{kn}^0)^2$, $n \geq 1$ be the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem $L_k(G)$ with the zero potential $q = 0$. This problem will be denoted by $L_k^0(G.)$ Let $\Delta_k^0(\lambda, G)$ be the characteristic function for $L_k^0(G)$. Then

1) There exists $h > 0$ such that the numbers $\lambda_{kn} = \rho_{kn}^2$ lie in the strip $|\operatorname{Im}\rho| < h$.

2) For $\rho \in \Lambda^\delta$, $|\rho| \to \infty$, one has $\Delta_k(\lambda, G) = \Delta_k^0(\lambda, G)(1 + O(\rho^{-1}))$.

3) For $n \to \infty$, $\rho_{kn} = \rho_{kn}^0 + O((\rho_{kn}^0)^{-1})$.

The characteristic functions $\Delta_\nu^\xi(\lambda, G)$ have similar properties. Denote

$$\mu_{kn}^0 = \begin{cases} \lambda_{kn}^0, & \text{если} \quad \lambda_{kn}^0 \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{если} \quad \lambda_{kn}^0 = 0. \end{cases}, \qquad \mu_{kn} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{kn}, & \text{если} \quad \lambda_{kn} \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{если} \quad \lambda_{kn} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using Hadamard's factorization theorem and the properties of the characteristic functions, one can show that the specification of the spectrum $\Lambda_k = \{\lambda_{kn}\}_{n\geq 1}$ uniquely determines the characteristic function $\Delta_k(\lambda, G)$ by the formula

$$\Delta_k(\lambda, G) = A_k^0 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{kn} - \lambda}{\mu_{kn}^0}, \quad A_k^0 = (-1)^{s_k} \frac{1}{s_k!} \Big(\frac{\partial^{s_k}}{\partial \lambda^{s_k}} \Delta_k^0(\lambda, G) \Big)_{|\lambda=0},$$

where $s_k \geq 0$ is the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue of $L_k^0(G)$. Analogously, the specification of the spectrum $\Lambda_\nu^\xi = \{\lambda_{\nu n}^\xi\}_{n\geq 1}$ uniquely determines the characteristic function $\Delta_\nu^\xi(\lambda, G)$.

Fix $k = \overline{1, p-1}$, and consider the following auxiliary inverse problem on the edge e_k, which is called $IP(e_k, G)$.

$IP(e_k, G)$. *Даны* $\Delta_0(\lambda, G)$ *и* $\Delta_k(\lambda, G)$, *построить потенциал* q *на* e_k.

Theorem 3.5. *Fix* $k = \overline{1, p-1}$. *The specification of the functions* $\Delta_0(\lambda, G)$ *and* $\Delta_k(\lambda, G)$ *uniquely determines the potential* q_k *on the edge* e_k.

This theorem is proved in [20], where a constructive procedure for the solution of the inverse problem $IP(e_k, G)$ is also obtained.

Fix $\xi = \overline{r+1, s}$. Consider the following auxiliary inverse problem, which is called $IP(e_\xi, G)$.

$IP(e_\xi, G)$. Given $\Delta_0^\xi(\lambda, G)$ and $\Delta_1^\xi(\lambda, G)$, construct the potential q on e_ξ.

If we move slightly the endpoint w_ξ to a point $w_\xi^0 \notin G$ (without moving the other endpoints of G and without changing the length of e_ξ), then instead of e_ξ we get the graph G^ξ with the edge e_ξ^0 instead of e_ξ. The edge e_ξ^0 is a boundary edge for G^ξ, and w_ξ^0 is a boundary vertex of G^ξ. Then the inverse problem $IP(e_\xi, G)$ is equivalent to the inverse problem $IP(e_\xi^0, G^\xi)$. Therefore, $IP(e_\xi, G)$, $\xi = \overline{r+1, s}$ is solved by the same arguments as $IP(e_k, G)$.

Descent procedure. Fix $k = \overline{p, r}$. Let $e_k \in \mathcal{E}^{(\mu)}$ be a fixed simple edge of order μ, and let $v = \sigma^-(e_k) \in \mathcal{V}$ be the initial point of e_k. The vertex v divides the graph G into two parts $G = Q \cup \hat{G}$, where $Q \cap \hat{G} = v$, $\hat{G} \cap R(v, G) = e_k$. Then (3.8)-(3.9) or (3.10)-(3.11) hold. Suppose that the potential q is known a priori on Q. Fix $e_j \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap Q$. Let $\Delta_0(\lambda, G)$ and $\Delta_j(\lambda, G)$ be given.

1) Solving the algebraic system (3.8)-(3.9) or (3.10)-(3.11) we calculate $\Delta_0(\lambda, \hat{G})$ and $\Delta_k(\lambda, \hat{G})$.

2) Solving the inverse problem $IP(e_k, \hat{G})$, we construct the potential q on e_k.

This procedure of calculating q on e_k is called the *descent procedure.*

Solution of Inverse problem 3.3. Let the spectra Λ_k, $k = \overline{0, p-1}$ and Λ_ν^ξ, $\xi = \overline{r+1, s}$, $\nu = 0, 1$, be given. Then one can uniquely construct the characteristic functions $\Delta_k(\lambda, G)$, $k =$

$\overline{0,p-1}$ and $\Delta_\nu^\xi(\lambda,G)$, $\xi=\overline{r+1,s}$, $\nu=0,1$. The solution of Inverse problem 1 can be found by the following algorithm.

Algorithm 3.6.
1) For each fixed $\xi=\overline{r+1,s}$, we solve $IP(e_\xi,G)$, and find the potential q on e_ξ.
2) For each fixed $k=\overline{1,p-1}$, we solve $IP(e_k,G)$, and find the potential q on e_k.
3) For $\mu=\omega-1,\omega-2,\dots,1,0$, we successively perform the following operations:
For each fixed simple edge $e_k\in\mathcal{E}^{(\mu)}$, $p\le k\le r$, we construct the potential q on e_k by the descent procedure.

Remark 3.7. Let $p\le 1$ (i.e. $p=0$ or $p=1$). Then the inverse problem is formulated as follows: Given the spectra Λ_ν^ξ, $\xi=\overline{r+1,s}$, $\nu=0,1$, construct the potential q on G. For $p=1$ all arguments and results remain true; in particular, for finding the potential q on G, one can use Algorithm 3.6 without Step 2. If $p=0$, $r>0$, Then the tree G^* is not empty. We choose and fix one of the boundary vertices of G^* as a root, and repeat the above-mentioned procedure. If $r=0$, then the tree G^* is empty, and we omit Step 3 in Algorithm 3.6.

Remark 3.8. The goal of this remark is to compare the M-matrix from [53] with the well-known spectral data for the classical Sturm-Liouville operators on an interval. Let $Z_j(x_j,\lambda)$, $W_j(x_j,\lambda)$ be solutions of equation (3.4) with the initial conditions $Z_j(l_j,\lambda)=W_j'(l_j,\lambda)=1$, $Z_j'(l_j,\lambda)=W_j(l_j,\lambda)=0$. Denote

$$m_{j,\nu}(\lambda)=\frac{Z_j^{(\nu)}(l_j/2,\lambda)}{W_j^{(\nu)}(l_j/2,\lambda)},\quad m_{j+s,\nu}(\lambda)=\frac{C_j^{(\nu)}(l_j/2,\lambda)}{S_j^{(\nu)}(l_j/2,\lambda)},\quad \nu=0,1,\ j=\overline{1,s}.$$

For each fixed $j=\overline{1,s}$ and $\nu=0,1$, these functions are the Weyl functions (see [12]) for equation (3.4) on the intervals $(l_j/2,l_j)$ and $(0,l_j/2)$, respectively. Fix $j=\overline{1,s}$ and $\nu=0,1$. It is known (see [12]) that the specification of $m_{j,\nu}(\lambda)$ and $m_{j+s,\nu}(\lambda)$ uniquely determines the potential q_j on $(0,l_j)$. This is the classical inverse Sturm-Liouville problem on an interval.

In [53] equation (3.4) is studied on a graph with some boundary conditions. The so-called M-matrix is introduced (see [53] for details), and the uniqueness of recovering equation (3.4) from the M-matrix is considered. It is easy to check that the specification of the M-matrix from [53] is equivalent to the specification of the Weyl functions $m_{j,\nu}(\lambda)$ for all $j=\overline{1,2s}$ and $\nu=0,1$. This means that in [53] there is nothing specific for a graph, and the inverse problem is considered really not on a graph but separately for each fixed $j=\overline{1,s}$ on the finite interval $(0,l_j)$. In other words, the M-matrix does not reflect spectral properties of operators on a graph. We also note that the M-matrix is introduced in [53] as the Weyl-type matrix for a $2s$ - dimensional matrix Sturm-Liouville equation with separated boundary conditions and with a diagonal potential. The inverse problem for the matrix Sturm-Liouville equation has been solved in many papers (see [54] and the references therein). Below are two examples on Remark 3.8.

Examples. 1) Let $s=2$, $l_1=l_2=1$, and let the boundary conditions have the form $y_2(0)=0$, $y_1(0)=y_1(1)=y_2(1)$, $y_1'(0)=y_1'(1)+y_2'(1)$. These conditions are related to a graph with one cycle and one boundary edge. Let $M(\lambda)=[M_{kr}(\lambda)]_{k,r=\overline{1,4}}$ be the M-matrix from [53]. Then

$$M_{11}(\lambda)-M_{13}(\lambda)=m_{10}(\lambda)/2,\quad M_{11}(\lambda)+M_{13}(\lambda)=m_{11}(\lambda)/2,$$
$$M_{22}(\lambda)-M_{24}(\lambda)=m_{20}(\lambda)/2,\quad M_{22}(\lambda)+M_{24}(\lambda)=m_{21}(\lambda)/2,$$
$$M_{31}(\lambda)-M_{33}(\lambda)=m_{30}(\lambda)/2,\quad M_{31}(\lambda)+M_{33}(\lambda)=-m_{31}(\lambda)/2,$$
$$M_{42}(\lambda)-M_{44}(\lambda)=-2/m_{40}(\lambda),\quad M_{42}(\lambda)+M_{44}(\lambda)=2/m_{41}(\lambda).$$

We do not need other elements of the M-matrix.

2) Let $s=1$, $l_1=1$, and let the boundary conditions have the form $y_1(0)-y_1(1)=y_1'(0)-y_1'(1)=0$. These conditions are related to the classical periodic problem. Let $M(\lambda)=[M_{kr}(\lambda)]_{k,r=\overline{1,2}}$ be the M-matrix from [53]. Then

$$M_{11}(\lambda)-M_{12}(\lambda)=m_{10}(\lambda)/2,\quad M_{11}(\lambda)+M_{12}(\lambda)=m_{11}(\lambda)/2,$$

$$M_{21}(\lambda) - M_{22}(\lambda) = -2/m_{20}(\lambda), \quad M_{21}(\lambda) + M_{22}(\lambda) = 2/m_{21}(\lambda).$$

Remark 3.9. An important and wide subclass of graphs is the so-called A-graphs (another name is cactus-graph), having the property that any two cycles can have at most one common point. Inverse problems for compact A-graphs were studied in [17-18], where an algorithm for the solution of the inverse problem was obtained and the uniqueness of the solution was proved.

4. Arbitrary order differential operators on compact graphs

In this section we present results on inverse spectral problems for arbitrary order differential operators on compact graphs. In subsection 4.1 we study n-th order differential operators for a fixed $n > 2$ on an arbitrary tree. In subsection 4.2 we provide the solution of the inverse problem for variable order differential operators when differential equations have different orders on different edges. As the main spectral characteristic we introduce and study the so-called Weyl matrices which are a generalization of the Weyl function for the classical Sturm-Liouville operator and a generalization of the Weyl matrix for arbitrary order equations on an interval and on graphs [12]. It is proved that the specification of the Weyl matrices uniquely determines the coefficients of the differential equation on the graph. We also provide a procedure for constructing the solution of the inverse problem. In subsection 4.3 we describe the solution of the inverse problem for variable order differential operators ona graph with a cycle. The results of subsection 4.3 were obtained in [50].

4.1. Inverse problems for n-th order operators. Consider a compact, connected tree T in $\mathbf{R^m}$ with the root v_0, the set of vertices $V = \{v_0, \dots, v_r\}$ and the set of edges $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$. We suppose that the length of each edge is equal to 1. Without loss of generality we assume that v_0 is a boundary vertex. We will use notations from subsection 2.1 related to the tree T.

A function Y on T may be represented as $Y(x) = \{y_j(x)\}_{j\in J}$, $x \in [0,1]$, where $J := \{j : j = \overline{1,r}\}$, and the function $y_j(x)$ is defined on the edge e_j. Fix $n \geq 2$. Let $q_\nu(x) = \{q_{\nu j}(x)\}_{j=\overline{1,r}}$, $\nu = \overline{0,n-2}$ be integrable complex-valued functions on T. Consider the following n-th order differential equation on T:

$$y_j^{(n)}(x) + \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} q_{\nu j}(x) y_j^{(\nu)}(x) = \lambda y_j(x), \quad j = \overline{1,r}, \tag{4.1}$$

and $y_j^{(\nu)}(x) \in AC[0,1]$, $j = \overline{1,r}$, $\nu = \overline{0,n-1}$. Denote by $q = \{q_\nu\}_{\nu=\overline{0,n-2}}$ the set of the coefficients of equation (1); q is called the potential.

For each internal vertex v_m, $m = \overline{p+1,r}$, we denote by $R(v_m) := \{e \in \mathcal{E} : e = [v_m, w], w \in V\}$ the set of edges emanating from v_m. Let $R_m := \{j : e_j \in R(v_m)\}$, and let ω_m be the number of edges emanating from v_m. If $R_m = \{\alpha_{jm}\}_{j=\overline{1,\omega_m}}$, then we put $y_{(jm)} := y_{\alpha_{jm}}$. Consider the linear forms

$$U_{j\nu m}(Y) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \gamma_{j\nu\mu m} y_{(jm)}^{(\mu)}(1), \quad m = \overline{p+1,r},\ j = \overline{1,\omega_m},\ \nu = \overline{0,n-1},$$

where $\gamma_{j\nu\mu m}$ are complex numbers, $\gamma_{j\nu m} := \gamma_{j\nu\nu m} \neq 0$, and satisfy the regularity condition for matching [44]. Let $\Psi_{sk}(x,\lambda) = \{\psi_{skj}(x,\lambda)\}_{j=\overline{1,r}}$, $s = \overline{1,p}$, $k = \overline{1,n}$, be solutions of equation (4.1) satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\left.\begin{aligned} y_s^{(\nu-1)}(0) = \delta_{k\nu}, \quad \nu = \overline{1,k}, \\ y_j^{(\xi-1)}(\delta_{j,p+1}) = 0, \quad \xi = \overline{1,n-k},\ j = \overline{1,p+1} \setminus s, \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4.2}$$

and the matching conditions in each internal vertex v_m, $m = \overline{p+1,r}$:

$$U_{j\nu m}(Y) + y_m^{(\nu)}(0) = 0, \quad j = \overline{1,\omega_m},\ \nu = \overline{0,k-1}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\omega_m} U_{j\nu m}(Y) + y_m^{(\nu)}(0) = 0, \quad \nu = \overline{k, n-1}. \tag{4.4}$$

Here and in the sequel, $\delta_{k\nu}$ is the Kronecker symbol. The solution Ψ_{sk} is called the Weyl solution of order k with respect to the boundary vertex v_s. We introduce the matrices $M_s(\lambda) = [M_{sk\nu}(\lambda)]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n}}$, $s = \overline{1,p}$, where $M_{sk\nu}(\lambda) := \psi_{sks}^{(\nu-1)}(0,\lambda)$. It follows from the definition of ψ_{skj} that $M_{sk\nu}(\lambda) = \delta_{k\nu}$ for $k \geq \nu$, and $\det M_s(\lambda) \equiv 1$. The matrix $M_s(\lambda)$ is called the Weyl matrix with respect to the boundary vertex v_s. Denote by $M = \{M_s\}_{s=\overline{1,p}}$ the set of the Weyl matrices. The inverse problem is formulated as follows.

Inverse problem 4.1. Given M, construct q on T.

This inverse problem is a generalization of the well-known inverse problems for differential operators on an interval and on graphs [12].

Let $\{C_{\mu j}(x,\lambda)\}_{\mu=\overline{1,n}}$, $j = \overline{1,r}$ be solutions of equation (4.1) on the edge e_j under the initial conditions $C_{\mu j}^{(\nu-1)}(0,\lambda) = \delta_{\mu\nu}$, $\mu, \nu = \overline{1,n}$. One has

$$\psi_{skj}(x,\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} M_{skj\mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu j}(x,\lambda), \quad s = \overline{1,p},\ k = \overline{1,n},\ j = \overline{1,r}, \tag{4.5}$$

where the coefficients $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$ do not depend on x. In particular, $M_{sks\mu}(\lambda) = M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)$, and

$$\psi_{sks}(x,\lambda) = C_{ks}(x,\lambda) + \sum_{\mu=k+1}^{n} M_{sk\mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu s}(x,\lambda). \tag{4.6}$$

Substituting the representation (4.5) into (4.2)-(4.4) for $Y = \Psi_{sk}$, we obtain a linear algebraic system with respect to $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$. Solving this system by Cramer's rule one gets $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda) = \Delta_{skj\mu}(\lambda)(\Delta_{sk}(\lambda))^{-1}$, where the functions $\Delta_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_{sk}(\lambda)$ are entire in λ of order $1/n$. Thus, the functions $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$ are meromorphic in λ, and consequently, the Weyl solutions and the Weyl matrices are meromorphic in λ. In particular,

$$M_{sk\mu}(\lambda) = \Delta_{sk\mu}(\lambda)(\Delta_{sk}(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad k < \mu, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\Delta_{sk\mu}(\lambda) = \Delta_{sks\mu}(\lambda)$, $\Delta_{sk}(\lambda) = \Delta_{skk}(\lambda)$. The zeros $\Lambda_{sk\mu} := \{\lambda_{lsk\mu}\}_{l\geq 1}$ of the function $\Delta_{sk\mu}(\lambda)$ coincide with the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem $L_{sk\mu}$ for equation (4.1) with the matching conditions (4.3)-(4.4) and with the boundary conditions $y_s^{(\nu-1)}(0) = 0$, $\nu = \overline{1, k-1}, \mu$, $y_j^{(\xi-1)}(\delta_{j,p+1}) = 0$, $\xi = \overline{1, n-k}$, $j = \overline{1, p+1} \setminus s$.

Problem $Z_k(T, v_0, \{a_\nu\}_{\nu=\overline{1,k}})$. Fix $k = \overline{1, n-1}$. Let a_ν, $\nu = \overline{1,k}$, be complex numbers. Denote by $\varphi_k = \{\varphi_{kj}\}_{j\in J}$ the solution of equation (4.1) on T satisfying the matching conditions (4.3)-(4.4) and the following boundary conditions

$$(\varphi_k^{(\nu-1)})_{|v_0} = a_\nu,\ \nu = \overline{1,k}, \quad (\varphi_k^{(\xi-1)})_{|v_j} = 0,\ v_j \in \Gamma \setminus v_0,\ \xi = \overline{1, n-k}. \tag{4.8}$$

Denote $m_{kj\mu}(\lambda) = \varphi_{kj}^{(\mu-1)}(0,\lambda)$, $j \in J$, $\mu = \overline{1,n}$. Then

$$\varphi_{kj}(x,\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} m_{kj\mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu j}(x,\lambda). \tag{4.9}$$

The matrix $m_{kj\mu}(\lambda)$, $j \in J$, $\mu = \overline{1,n}$ is called the transition matrix for T with respect to v_0 and $\{a_\nu\}$. Substituting (4.9) into (4.8) and (4.3)-(4.4) for $Y = \varphi_k$ we obtain a linear algebraic system with respect to $m_{kj\mu}(\lambda)$, $j \in J$, $\mu = \overline{1,n}$. The determinant of this system is $\Delta_{sk}(\lambda)$. Solving this system by Cramer's rule we find the transition matrix $m_{kj\mu}(\lambda)$, $j \in J$, $\mu = \overline{1,n}$ for T with respect to v_0 and $\{a_\nu\}$. The algebraic problem of calculating the transition matrix $m_{kj\mu}(\lambda)$, $j \in J$, $\mu = \overline{1,n}$ by Cramer's rule is called problem $Z_k(T, v_0, \{a_\nu\}_{\nu=\overline{1,k}})$.

Fix $s = \overline{1,p}$, and consider the following auxiliary inverse problem on the edge e_s, which is called IP(s): *Given M_s, construct the functions $q_{\nu s}$, $\nu = \overline{0,n-2}$ on the edge e_s.*

Theorem 4.2. *The specification of the matrix M_s uniquely determines the potential on the edge e_s.*

This theorem was proved in [44], where a constructive procedure for the solution of the inverse problem IP(s) was also obtained. Let us introduce the Weyl matrices for internal vertices. Fix $v_m \notin \Gamma$. Denote $T_m^0 := \{z \in T : v_m < z\}$, $T_m := T \setminus T_m^0$. Clearly, T_m is a rooted tree with the root v_0. Let Γ_m be the set of boundary vertices of T_m. Denote $J_m := \{j : e_j \in T_m\}$. If $Y = \{y_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a function on T, then $\{Y\}_m := \{y_j\}_{j\in J_m}$ is a function on T_m.

Fix $v_m \notin \Gamma$, $k = \overline{1,n-1}$. Let $\Psi_{mk}(x,\lambda) = \{\psi_{mkj}(x,\lambda)\}_{j\in J_m}$ be the solution of equation (4.1) on T_m satisfying (4.3)-(4.4) on T_m and the boundary conditions $(\Psi_{mk}^{(\nu-1)})_{|v_m} = \delta_{\nu k}$, $\nu = \overline{1,k}$, $(\Psi_{mk}^{(\xi-1)})_{|v_j} = 0$, $v_j \in \Gamma_m \setminus v_m$, $\xi = \overline{1,n-k}$. The function Ψ_{mk} is the Weyl solution of (4.1) on T_m with respect to the internal vertex v_m. We introduce the matrix $M_m(\lambda) = [M_{mk\nu}(\lambda)]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n}}$, where $M_{mk\nu}(\lambda) := \psi_{mkm}^{(\nu-1)}(0,\lambda)$. Then $M_{mk\nu}(\lambda) = \delta_{k\nu}$ for $k \geq \nu$, and $\det M_m(\lambda) \equiv 1$. The matrix $M_m(\lambda)$ is called the Weyl matrix for T_m with respect to the internal vertex v_m.

Theorem 4.3. *Fix $v_m \notin \Gamma$ and $k = \overline{1,n-1}$. Let $e_s = [v_m, v_s] \in R(v_m)$. Then*

$$M_{mk\nu}(\lambda) = \frac{\det[\psi_{s\mu m}(0,\lambda), \dots, \psi_{s\mu m}^{(k-2)}(0,\lambda), \psi_{s\mu m}^{(\nu-1)}(0,\lambda)]_{\mu=\overline{1,k}}}{\det[\psi_{s\mu m}^{(\xi-1)}(0,\lambda)]_{\xi,\mu=\overline{1,k}}}, \quad \nu = \overline{k+1,n}. \tag{4.10}$$

Using the fundamental system of solutions $\{C_{\mu j}(x,\lambda)\}_{\mu=\overline{1,n}}$, one gets that (4.5) holds for $s = \overline{1,r}$, $k = \overline{1,n}$, $j \in J_s$, where $J_s := J$ for $s = \overline{1,p}$, and the coefficients $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$ do not depend on x. In particular, $M_{sks\mu}(\lambda) = M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)$, and (4.6) holds for $s = \overline{1,r}$, $k = \overline{1,n}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\psi_{skj}^{(\nu-1)}(1,\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} M_{skj\mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu j}^{(\nu-1)}(1,\lambda), \quad k,\nu = \overline{1,n},\ s = \overline{1,r},\ j \in J_s, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\psi_{sks}^{(\nu-1)}(1,\lambda) = C_{ks}^{(\nu-1)}(1,\lambda) + \sum_{\mu=k+1}^{n} M_{sk\mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu s}^{(\nu-1)}(1,\lambda), \quad k,\nu = \overline{1,n},\ s = \overline{1,r}. \tag{4.12}$$

Now we will obtain a constructive procedure for the solution of inverse problem 4.1 and prove its uniqueness. Let the set $M = \{M_s\}_{s=\overline{1,p}}$ for equation (4.1) be given. The procedure for the solution of inverse problem 1 consists in the realization of the so-called A_ξ - procedures successively for $\xi = \sigma, \sigma-1, \dots, 1$, where σ is the height of the tree T. Let us describe A_ξ - procedures by induction. Fix $\xi = \overline{1,\sigma}$, and suppose that $A_\sigma, \dots, A_{\xi+1}$ - procedures have been already carried out. Let us carry out A_ξ - procedure.

A_ξ - procedure. For each $v_s \in V^{(\xi)}$, the Weyl matrix $M_s(\lambda)$ is given. Indeed, if $v_s \in V^{(\xi)} \cap \Gamma$, then $M_s(\lambda)$ is given a priori, and if $v_s \in V^{(\xi)} \setminus \Gamma$, then $M_s(\lambda)$ was calculated on the previous steps according to $A_\sigma, \dots, A_{\xi+1}$ - procedures.

1) For each edge $e_s \in \mathcal{E}^{(\xi)}$ we solve the local inverse problem IP(s) and find the coefficients $q_{\nu s}(x)$, $x \in [0,1]$, $\nu = \overline{0,n-2}$ of equation (4.1) on the edge e_s. If $\xi = 1$, then inverse problem 4.1 is solved, and we stop our calculations. If $\xi > 1$, we go on to the next step.

2) For each edge $e_s \in \mathcal{E}^{(\xi)}$, we construct $C_{\mu s}(x,\lambda)$, $x \in [0,1]$, $\mu = \overline{1,n}$, and calculate the functions

$$\psi_{sks}^{(\nu-1)}(1,\lambda), \quad k,\nu = \overline{1,n}. \tag{4.13}$$

3) *Returning procedure.* For each fixed $v_m \in V^{(\xi-1)} \setminus \Gamma$, $k = \overline{1,n}$, and for all $e_i, e_s \in R(v_m)$, $i \neq s$, we fulfill the following operations:

(i) Using (4.13) and the matching conditions (4.3) in v_m for $Y = \Psi_{sk}$, we obtain

$$\psi_{ski}^{(\nu-1)}(1,\lambda) = a_{ski\nu}(\lambda), \quad \nu = \overline{1,k},$$

where $a_{ski\nu}(\lambda)$ are constructed as linear combinations of the functions (4.13) for $\nu = \overline{1,k}$.

(ii) Consider the tree $T_i^1 := T_i^0 \cup \{e_i\}$ with the root v_m. Solving the algebraic problem $Z_k(T_i^1, v_m, \{a_{ski\nu}(\lambda)\}_{\nu=\overline{1,k}})$, we calculate the transition matrix $\{M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)\}$, $\mu = \overline{1,n}$, $j \in J_{i1}$, where $J_{i1} := \{j : e_j \in T_i^1\}$.

4) For each fixed $v_m \in V^{(\xi-1)} \setminus \Gamma$, and for all $e_j, e_s \in R(v_m)$, $j \neq s$, we construct the functions

$$\psi_{skj}^{(\nu-1)}(1,\lambda), \quad k,\nu = \overline{1,n}, \tag{4.14}$$

by (4.11). Furthermore, using (4.13), (4.14) and the matching conditions (4.3)-(4.4) we find the functions $\psi_{skm}^{(\nu-1)}(0,\lambda)$, $k,\nu = \overline{1,n}$.

5) For each fixed $v_m \in V^{(\xi-1)} \setminus \Gamma$, we calculate the matrix $M_m(\lambda)$ via (4.10).

Thus, we have obtained the solution of inverse problem 4.1 and proved its uniqueness, i.e. the following assertion holds.

Theorem 4.4 *The specification of the Weyl matrices $M = \{M_s\}_{s=\overline{1,p}}$ uniquely determines the potential q on T. The solution of inverse problem 4.1 can be constructed by executing successively $A_\sigma, A_{\sigma-1}, \ldots, A_1$ - procedures.*

Let us now obtain the solution of the inverse problem of recovering equation (4.1) from discrete spectral characteristics. Denote by $\Lambda := \{\Lambda_{sk\mu}\}$, $s = \overline{1,p}$, $k = \overline{1,n-1}$, $\mu = \overline{k,n}$, the set of spectra of the boundary value problems $L_{sk\mu}$. The inverse problem is formulated as follows.

Inverse problem 4.5 Given the set of spectra Λ, construct q on T.

This inverse problem is a generalization of the classical inverse problem of recovering Sturm-Liouville operators on an interval from two spectra and a generalization of the inverse problem of recovering higher-order differential operators on an interval from spectra (see [12]). The solution of inverse problem 4.5 can be reduced to the solution of Inverse problem 4.1. Indeed, using (4.7) and Hadamard's theorem, one gets

$$M_{sk\mu}(\lambda) = \beta_{sk\mu} \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} \Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{lsk\mu}}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{lsk}}\Big)^{-1}, \quad s = \overline{1,p},\ k = \overline{1,n-1},\ \mu = \overline{k+1,n}, \tag{4.15}$$

where $\lambda_{lsk} := \lambda_{lskk}$, and the constants $\beta_{sk\mu}$ can be uniquely calculated from the asymptotics of $M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)$. Thus, using the given spectra Λ, we can construct uniquely the set $M = \{M_s\}_{s=\overline{1,p}}$ of the Weyl matrices. The following assertion holds.

Theorem 4.6. *The specification of the set of spectra Λ uniquely determines the potential q on T. The solution of inverse problem 4.5 can be obtained by two steps:*

1) Construct the Weyl matrices $M = \{M_s\}_{s=\overline{1,p}}$ by (4.15);

2) Find q on T by executing successively $A_\sigma, A_{\sigma-1}, \ldots, A_1$ - procedures.

Remark 4.7. *Inverse problem from the spectral data.* It is also possible to consider an inverse problem from other discrete spectral characteristics, namely from the so-called spectral data, which describe main parts of Laurent's series for the Weyl matrices in the neighborhoods of their poles. For simplicity, let all poles be simple. Denote $\alpha_{lsk\mu} = \operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{lsk}} M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)$. The data $\Lambda_1 := \{\lambda_{lsk}, \alpha_{lsk\mu}\}$, $l \geq 1$, $s = \overline{1,p}$, $k = \overline{1,n-1}$, $\mu = \overline{k+1,n}$, are called the spectral data. The inverse problem is formulated as follows: Given the spectral data Λ_1, construct the potential q on T. This inverse problem is a generalization of the classical inverse problem of recovering Sturm-Liouville operators on an interval (cv/ [9-10]). One can get that the specification of Λ_1 uniquely determines M. Thus, the solution of the inverse problem from the spectral data can be reduced to the solution of inverse problem 4.1.

4.2. Inverse problems for variable order operators on graphs without cycles. We study inverse spectral problems for ordinary differential operators of variable order on a compact graph without cycles, when differential equations have different orders on different edges. For simplicity we confine ourselves to the case of star-graphs. The general case is treated analogously.

Consider a compact star-type graph T in $\mathbf{R}^\omega$ with the set of vertices $V = \{v_0, \dots, v_p\}$ and the set of edges $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$, where $v_1, \dots, v_p$ are the boundary vertices, v_0 is the internal vertex, and $e_j = [v_j, v_0]$, $e_1 \cap \ldots \cap e_p = \{v_0\}$. Let l_j be the length of the edge e_j. Each edge $e_j \in \mathcal{E}$ is parameterized by the parameter $x_j \in [0, l_j]$ such that $x_j = 0$ corresponds to the boundary vertices $v_1, \dots, v_p$, and $x_j = l_j$ corresponds to the internal vertex v_0. A function Y on T may be represented as $Y = \{y_j\}_{j=\overline{1,p}}$, where the function $y_j(x_j)$ is defined on the edge e_j. Fix $m = \overline{1,p}$. Let n_i, p_i, $i = \overline{1,m}$, be positive integers such that $n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_m > 1$, $0 < p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_{m-1} < p_m := p$, и положим $n_{m+1} := 1$, $p_0 := 0$. Consider the differential equations on T:

$$y_j^{(n_i)}(x_j) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{n_i-2} q_{\mu j}(x_j) y_j^{(\mu)}(x_j) = \lambda y_j(x_j), \quad x_j \in (0, l_j),\ i = \overline{1,m},\ j = \overline{p_{i-1}+1, p_i}, \tag{4.16}$$

where $q_{\mu j}(x_j)$ are complex-valued integrable functions. Thus, the differential equations have order n_i on the edges e_j, $j = \overline{p_{i-1}+1, p_i}$. We call $q_j = \{q_{\mu j}\}$ the potential on the edge e_j, and we call $q = \{q_j\}_{j=\overline{1,p}}$ the potential on the graph T. Denote $\mu_i = p_i - p_{i-1}$, $i = \overline{1,m}$.

Fix $i = \overline{1,m}$, $j = \overline{p_{i-1}+1, p_i}$. Let $\{C_{kj}(x_j, \lambda)\}$, $k = \overline{1, n_i}$, be the fundamental system of solutions of equation (4.16) on the edge e_j under the initial conditions $C_{kj}^{(\mu-1)}(0, \lambda) = \delta_{k\mu}$, $k, \mu = \overline{1, n_i}$. Here and in the sequel, $\delta_{k\mu}$ is the Kronecker symbol. Consider the linear forms

$$U_{j\nu}(y_j) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \gamma_{j\nu\mu} y_j^{(\mu)}(l_j), \quad j = \overline{1,p},$$

where $\gamma_{j\nu\mu}$ are complex numbers, $\gamma_{j\nu} := \gamma_{j\nu\nu} \neq 0$, $\nu = \overline{0, n_i - 1}$ for $j = \overline{p_{i-1}+1, p_i}$.

Denote $\langle n \rangle := (|n| + n)/2$, i.e. $\langle n \rangle = n$ for $n \geq 0$, and $\langle n \rangle = 0$ for $n \leq 0$. Fix $i = \overline{1,m}$, $s = \overline{p_{i-1}+1, p_i}$, $k = \overline{1, n_i - 1}$. We introduce the solutions $\Psi_{sk} = \{\psi_{skj}\}_{j=\overline{1,p}}$ of Eq. (4.16) on the graph T as follows. Let $\xi = \overline{i,m}$; $k = \overline{n_{\xi+1}, n_\xi - 1}$. Then Ψ_{sk} satisfies the boundary conditions

$$\psi_{sks}^{(\eta-1)}(0) = \delta_{k\eta}, \quad \eta = \overline{1,k}, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\psi_{skj}^{(r)}(0) = 0, \quad r = \overline{0, \langle n_l - k - 1 \rangle};\ l = \overline{1,m},\ j = \overline{p_{l-1}+1, p_l},\ j \neq s, \tag{4.18}$$

and the matching conditions at the vertex v_0:

$$U_{p_l,\nu}(\psi_{sk,p_l}) = U_{j\nu}(\psi_{skj}),\ l = \overline{\xi, m},\ j = \overline{1, p_l - 1},\ \nu = \overline{n_{l+1} - 1, \min(k-1, n_l - 2)}, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p_\xi} U_{j\nu}(\psi_{skj}) = 0,\ \nu = \overline{k, n_\xi - 1}; \quad \sum_{j=1}^{p_l} U_{j\nu}(\psi_{skj}) = 0,\ l = \xi - 1, \dots, i,\ \nu = \overline{n_{l+1}, n_l - 1}. \tag{4.20}$$

The function Ψ_{sk} is called the Weyl solution of order k with respect to the boundary vertex v_s. Additionally we define $\psi_{sn_is}(x_s, \lambda) := C_{n_is}(x_s, \lambda)$.

Let us introduce the matrices $M_s(\lambda)$, $s = \overline{p_{i-1}+1, p_i}$, $i = \overline{1,m}$:

$$M_s(\lambda) = [M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)]_{k,\mu=\overline{1,n_i}}, \quad M_{sk\mu}(\lambda) := \psi_{sks}^{(\mu-1)}(0, \lambda).$$

It follows from the definition of Ψ_{sk} that $M_{sk\mu}(\lambda) = \delta_{k\mu}$ for $k \geq \mu$. The matrix $M_s(\lambda)$ is called the Weyl matrix with respect to the vertex v_s. Fix $N = \overline{1,m}$.

Inverse problem 4.8. Given $\{M_s(\lambda)\}$, $s = \overline{1,p} \setminus p_N$, construct q on T.

Fix $i = \overline{1,m}$, $s = \overline{p_{i-1}+1, p_i}$. It follows from the boundary conditions (4.17) for the Weyl solutions that

$$\psi_{sks}(x_s, \lambda) = C_{ks}(x_s, \lambda) + \sum_{\mu=k+1}^{n_i} M_{sk\mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu s}(x_s, \lambda), \quad k = \overline{1, n_i}. \tag{4.21}$$

Using the fundamental system of solutions $\{C_{\mu j}(x_j,\lambda)\}$ on the edge e_j, we get

$$\psi_{skj}(x_j,\lambda)=\sum_{\mu=1}^{n_l} M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)C_{\mu j}(x_j,\lambda),\ j=\overline{p_{l-1}+1,p_l},\ l=\overline{1,m},\ k=\overline{1,n_i-1}, \tag{4.22}$$

where the coefficients $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$ do not depend on x_j. In particular, $M_{sks\mu}(\lambda)=M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)$. Substituting (4.22) into boundary and matching conditions (4.17)-(4.20) for the Weyl-type solutions Ψ_{sk}, we obtain a linear algebraic system $D^1_{sk}(\lambda)$ with respect to $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$. Solving this system by Cramer's rule one gets $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)=\Delta_{skj\mu}(\lambda)/\Delta_{sk}(\lambda)$, where the functions $\Delta_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_{sk}(\lambda)$ are entire in λ. In particular, $M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)=\Delta_{sk\mu}(\lambda)/\Delta_{sk}(\lambda)$, $k<\mu$, where $\Delta_{sk\mu}(\lambda):=\Delta_{sks\mu}(\lambda)$. The functions $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$ are meromorphic in λ.

Fix $s=\overline{1,p}$, and consider the following inverse problem on the edge e_s.

Inverse problem 4.9. Given the Weyl matrix M_s, construct the potential q_s on the edge e_s.

This inverse problem was solved in [48], where the uniqueness theorem was proved and an algorithm for the solution of Inverse problem 4.9 was obtained.

Fix $i=\overline{1,m}$, $j=\overline{p_{i-1}+1,p_i}$. Let $\varphi_{jk}(x_j,\lambda)$, $k=\overline{1,n_i}$ be solutions of Eq. (4.16) on the edge e_j with the conditions $\varphi_{jk}^{(\nu-1)}(l_j,\lambda)=\delta_{k\nu}$, $\nu=\overline{1,k}$, $\varphi_{jk}^{(\mu-1)}(0,\lambda)=0$, $\mu=\overline{1,n_i-k}$. We introduce the matrix $m_j(\lambda)=[m_{jk\nu}(\lambda)]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n_i}}$, where $m_{jk\nu}(\lambda):=\varphi_{jk}^{(\nu-1)}(l_j,\lambda)$. The matrix $m_j(\lambda)$ is a classical Weyl matrix on the edge e_j.

Inverse problem 4.10. Fix $j=\overline{1,p}$. Given the matrix m_j, construct the potential q_j on the edge e_j.

This inverse problem is the classical one, since it is the inverse problem of recovering a higher-order differential equation on a finite interval from its Weyl matrix. This inverse problem has been solved in [11], where the uniqueness theorem for this inverse problem is proved. Moreover, in [11] an algorithm for the solution of Inverse problem 4.10 is given, and necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of this inverse problem are provided.

Fix $i=\overline{1,m}$, $j=\overline{p_{i-1}+1,p_i}$. Then for each fixed $s=\overline{1,p_1}\setminus j$,

$$m_{j1\nu}(\lambda)=\frac{\psi_{s1j}^{(\nu-1)}(l_j,\lambda)}{\psi_{s1j}(l_j,\lambda)},\quad \nu=\overline{2,n_i}, \tag{4.23}$$

$$m_{jk\nu}(\lambda)=\frac{\det[\psi_{s\mu j}(l_j,\lambda),\dots,\psi_{s\mu j}^{(k-2)}(l_j,\lambda),\psi_{s\mu j}^{(\nu-1)}(l_j,\lambda)]_{\mu=\overline{1,k}}}{\det[\psi_{s\mu j}^{(\xi-1)}(l_j,\lambda)]_{\xi,\mu=\overline{1,k}}},\ 2\le k<\nu\le n_i. \tag{4.24}$$

Solution of Inverse problem 4.8. Let us construct an algorithm for the solution of Inverse problem 4.8.

Step 1. Let the Weyl-type matrices $\{M_s(\lambda)\}$, $s=\overline{1,p}\backslash p_N$, be given. Solving Inverse problem 4.9 for each fixed $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$, we find the potentials q_s on the edges e_s, $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$.

Step 2. Using the knowledge of the potential on the edges e_s, $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$, we construct the Weyl-type matrix m_{p_N}.

Step 3. Solving Inverse problem 4.10 for $j=p_N$ we find the potential q_{p_N} on e_{p_N}.

Steps 1 and 3 have been already studied. It remains to fulfil Step 2.

Suppose that Step 1 was already made, and we found the potentials q_s, $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$, on the edges e_s, $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$. Then we calculate the functions $C_{kj}(x_j,\lambda)$, $j=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$; here $k=\overline{1,n_i}$ for $j=\overline{p_{i-1}+1,p_i}$.

Fix $s=\overline{1,p_1}$ (if $N>1$), and $s=\overline{1,p_1-1}$ (if $N=1$). All calculations below will be made for this fixed s.

Our goal now is to construct the Weyl-type matrix $m_{p_N}(\lambda)$. By virtue of (4.23)-(4.24), we have to calculate the functions

$$\psi_{skp_N}^{(\nu)}(l_{p_N},\lambda),\quad k=\overline{1,n_N-1},\ \nu=\overline{0,n_N-1}. \tag{4.25}$$

We will find the functions (4.25) by the following steps.

1) Using (4.21) we construct the functions

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{sks}(l_s,\lambda),\ k=\overline{1,n_N-1},\ \nu=\overline{0,n_1-1}, \tag{4.26}$$

by the formula

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{sks}(l_s,\lambda)=C^{(\nu)}_{ks}(l_s,\lambda)+\sum_{\mu=k+1}^{n_1}M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)C^{(\nu)}_{\mu s}(l_s,\lambda). \tag{4.27}$$

2) Consider a part of the matching conditions (4.19) on Ψ_{sk}. More precisely, let $\xi=\overline{N,m},\ k=\overline{n_{\xi+1},n_\xi-1},\ l=\overline{\xi,m},\ j=\overline{1,p_l-1}$. Then, in particular, (4.19) yields

$$U_{p_l,\nu}(\psi_{skp_l})=U_{j\nu}(\psi_{skj}),\quad \nu=\overline{n_{l+1}-1,\min(k-1,n_l-2)}. \tag{4.28}$$

Since the functions (4.26) are known, it follows from (4.28) that one can calculate the functions

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{skj}(l_j,\lambda),\ \xi=\overline{N,m},\ k=\overline{n_{\xi+1},n_\xi-1},\ l=\overline{\xi,m},\ j=\overline{1,p_l},\ \nu=\overline{n_{l+1}-1,\min(k-1,n_l-2)}. \tag{4.29}$$

In particular we found the functions (4.25) for $\nu=\overline{0,k-1}$.

3) It follows from (4.22) and the boundary conditions on Ψ_{sk} that

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{skj}(l_j,\lambda)=\sum_{\mu=\max(n_l-k+1,2)}^{n_l}M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)C^{(\nu)}_{\mu j}(l_j,\lambda), \tag{4.30}$$

$$k=\overline{1,n_1-1},\ l=\overline{1,m},\ j=\overline{p_{l-1}+1,p_l}\setminus s,\ \nu=\overline{0,n_l-1}.$$

We consider only a part of relations (4.30). More precisely, let $\xi=\overline{N,m},\ k=\overline{n_{\xi+1},n_\xi-1}$, $l=\overline{1,m},\ j=\overline{p_{l-1}+1,p_l},\ j\ne p_N,\ j\ne s,\ \nu=\overline{0,\min(k-1,n_l-2)}$. Then

$$\sum_{\mu=\max(n_l-k+1,2)}^{n_l}M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)C^{(\nu)}_{\mu j}(l_j,\lambda)=\psi^{(\nu)}_{skj}(l_j,\lambda),\quad \nu=\overline{0,\min(k-1,n_l-2)}. \tag{4.31}$$

For this choice of parameters, the right-hand sides in (4.31) are known, since the functions (4.29) are known. Relations (4.31) form a linear algebraic system σ_{skj} with respect to the coefficients $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$. Solving the system by Cramer's rule we find the functions $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$. Substituting them into (4.30), we calculate the functions

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{skj}(l_j,\lambda),\quad k=\overline{1,n_N-1},\ l=\overline{1,m},\ j=\overline{p_{l-1}+1,p_l}\setminus p_N,\ \nu=\overline{0,n_l-1}. \tag{4.32}$$

Note that for $j=s$ these functions were found earlier.

4) Let us now use the generalized Kirchhoff's conditions (4.20) for Ψ_{sk}. Since the functions (4.32) are known, one can construct by (4.20) the functions (4.25) for $k=\overline{1,n_N-1},\ \nu=\overline{k,n_N-1}$. Thus, the functions (4.25) are known for $k=\overline{1,n_N-1},\ \nu=\overline{0,n_N-1}$.

Since the functions (4.25) are known, we construct the Weyl-type matrix $m_{p_N}(\lambda)$ via (4.23)-(4.24) for $j=p_N$. Thus, we have obtained the solution of Inverse problem 4.8 and proved its uniqueness, i.e. the following assertion holds.

Theorem 4.11. *The specification of the Weyl-type matrices $M_s(\lambda),\ s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$, uniquely determines the potential q on T. The solution of Inverse problem 4.8 can be obtained by the following algorithm.*

Алгоритм 4.12. *Given the Weyl matrices $M_s(\lambda),\ s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$.*

1) Find q_s, $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$, by solving Inverse problem 4.9 for each fixed $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$.

2) Calculate $C^{(\nu)}_{kj}(l_j,\lambda),\ j=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$; here $k=\overline{1,n_i},\ \nu=\overline{0,n_i-1}$ for $j=\overline{p_{i-1}+1,p_i}$.

3) Fix $s = \overline{1, p_1}$ *(if* $N > 1$ *), and* $s = \overline{1, p_1 - 1}$ *(if* $N = 1$ *). All calculations below will be made for this fixed* s*. Construct the functions (4.26) via (4.27).*

4) Calculate the functions (4.29) using (4.28).

5) Find the functions $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$*, by solving the linear algebraic systems* σ_{skj} *.*

6) Construct the functions (4.25) using (4.20).

7) Calculate the Weyl matrix $m_{p_N}(\lambda)$ *via (4.23)-(4.24) for* $j = p_N$ *.*

8) Construct the potential q_{p_N} *on the edge* e_{p_N} *by solving Inverse problem 4.10.*

4.3. Inverse problems for variable order operators on graphs with cycles. Consider a compact graph G with vertices $V = \{v_0, \dots, v_m\}$ and edges $\mathcal{E} = \{e_0, \dots, e_m\}$, where $e_j = [v_j, v_0]$, $j = \overline{1, m}$, and e_0 is a cycle having only one vertex v_0. Thus, v_j, $j = \overline{1, m}$ are boundary vertices, and v_0 is a interior vertex. Let T_j be the length of the edge e_j. For each edge $e_j \in \mathcal{E}$ we introduce the parameter $x_j \in [0, T_j]$ such that for $j = \overline{1, m}$ the endpoint $x_j = 0$ corresponds to the vertex v_j, and the endpoint $x_j = T_j$ corresponds to the vertex v_0. For $j = 0$ both endpoints coincide with v_0.

Fix numbers $2 = n_0 \le n_1 \le \dots \le n_m$, and consider differential equations

$$y_j^{(n_j)} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{n_j-2} q_{\mu j}(x_j) y_j^{(\mu)}(x_j) = \lambda y_j(x_j), \quad j = \overline{0, m}, \tag{4.33}$$

where $q_{\mu j} \in L[0, T_j]$. The set $q := \{q_{\mu j}\}_{j=\overline{0,m}, \mu=\overline{0,n_j-2}}$ is called the potential on the graph G. Let us introduce matching conditions at the interior vertex v_0, which are a generalization of Kirchhoff's conditions for Sturm-Liouville operators and higher order operators on graphs. For this purpose we consider linear forms

$$U_{j\nu}(y_j) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \gamma_{j\nu\mu} y_j^{(\mu)}(T_j), \ \gamma_{j\nu\nu} \ne 0, \ j = \overline{1, m}, \ \nu = \overline{0, n_j - 1}, \qquad U_{0\nu}(y_0) = y_0^{(\nu)}(T_0), \ \nu = 0, 1,$$

where $\gamma_{j\nu\mu}$ are complex numbers. We define continuity conditions $C(\nu)$, $C(0, \alpha)$ and Kirchhoff's conditions $\mathrm{K}(\nu)$ of order ν as follows:

$$C(\nu): \quad U_{m\nu}(y_m) = U_{j\nu}(y_j), \ j = \overline{0, m-1}, \ \nu < n_j - 1,$$

$$C(0, \alpha): \quad C(0) \text{ and } \alpha y_0(0) = y_0(T_0), \qquad K(\nu): \quad \sum_{j:\nu<n_j} y_j^{(\nu)}(T_j) = \delta_{1\nu} y_0'(0),$$

where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker symbol, and $\alpha \ne 0$ is a complex number.

Let $m > 1$ (the case $m = 1$ requires small modifications). Fix $s = \overline{1, m}$, $k = \overline{1, n_s - 1}$ and $\mu = \overline{k, n_s}$. Consider the boundary value problems $L_{sk\mu}$ for system (4.33) with the boundary conditions

$$y_k^{(\nu-1)}(0) = 0, \quad \nu = \overline{1, k-1}, \mu,$$

$$y_j^{(\nu-1)}(0) = 0, \quad \nu = \overline{1, n_j - k}, \ j = \overline{1, m} \backslash s: \ n_j > k,$$

$$y_j(0) = 0, \quad j = \overline{1, m}: \ n_j \le k,$$

and the matching conditions $C(0, \alpha_s)$, $C(\nu)$, $\nu = \overline{1, k-1}$, $K(\nu)$, $\nu = \overline{k, n_s - 1}$ at the vertex v_0. Here α_s, $s = \overline{1, m}$ are nonzero numbers such that among them there are at least two different numbers. Assume that regularity conditions for matching is fulfilled. Problems $L_{sk\mu}$ have discrete spectra $\Lambda_{sk\mu} = \{\lambda_{lsk\mu}\}_{l \ge 1}$. The characteristic functions $\Delta_{sk\mu}$ of the boundary value problems $L_{sk\mu}$ can be uniquely constructed from their spectra.

Inverse problem 4.13. Given the spectra $\Lambda_{sk\mu}$, $s = \overline{1, m}$, $k = \overline{1, n_s - 1}$, $\mu = \overline{k, n_s}$, construct the potential q on the graph G.

Fix $s = \overline{1,m}$ and $k = \overline{1,n_s-1}$. Let $\Psi_{sk} = \{\psi_{skj}\}_{j=\overline{1,m}}$ be the solution of system (4.33) under the conditions

$$\psi_{sks}^{(\nu-1)}(0) = \delta_{k\nu},\ \nu = \overline{1,k},$$

$$\psi_{skj}^{(\xi-1)}(0) = 0,\ \xi = \overline{1,n_j-k},\ j = \overline{1,m}\backslash s\colon k < n_j,$$

$$\psi_{skj}(0) = 0,\ j = \overline{1,m}\colon k \geq n_j,$$

$$C(0,\alpha_s),\ C(\nu),\ \nu = \overline{1,k-1},\ K(\nu),\ \nu = \overline{k,n_s-1}.$$

Let $M_{sk\mu}(\lambda) := \psi_{sks}^{(\mu-1)}(0,\lambda)$, $M_{sn_s\mu}(\lambda) = \delta_{n_s,\mu}$. The matrix $M_s(\lambda) := [M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)]_{k,\mu=1}^{n_s}$ is called *the Weyl matrix* for the vertex v_s. The following relations hold $M_{sk\mu}(\lambda) = -\Delta_{sk\mu}(\lambda)/\Delta_{skk}(\lambda)$, i.e. the Weyl matrices can be constructed from the given spectra. Consider the following auxiliary inverse problem IP(s).

IP(s). Given the matrix M_s, construct the potential $q_s := \{q_{\mu s}\}_{\mu=0}^{n_s-2}$ on the edge e_s.

Problem IP(s) has a unique solution which can be constructed by the method of spectral mappings (see [11]).

Let $S_0(x_0,\lambda)$ and $C_0(x_0,\lambda)$ be solutions of Eq. (4.33) on the edge e_0 ($n_0 = 2$) satisfying the initial conditions $S_0(0,\lambda) = C_0'(0,\lambda) = 0$, $S_0'(0,\lambda) = C_0(0,\lambda) = 1$. Using the known characteristic functions $\Delta_{sk\mu}(\lambda)$ and the potentials q_s on the boundary edges, one can construct $S_0(T_0,\lambda)$ and $d_{\alpha_s}(\lambda) := C_0(T_0,\lambda)+\alpha_s S_0'(T_0,\lambda)-\alpha_s-1$. From the functions $d_{\alpha_s}(\lambda)$ with different α_s it is easy to find $S_0'(T_0,\lambda)$. It remains to solve on the edge e_0 the classical inverse problem from two spectra (zeros of $S_0(T_0,\lambda)$ and $S_0'(T_0,\lambda)$), and find the potential $q_{00}(x)$ on the cycle. Thus, we have obtained the following result.

Theorem 4.14. *Inverse problem 4.13 is uniquely solvable, and its solution can be found by the above-mentioned method.*

5. Differential operators on noncompact graphs.

5.1. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems on a noncompact star-graph. Sturm-Liouville differential operators are considered on noncompact star-type graphs. The graph contains both compact and noncompact edges; this makes the investigation of inverse problems essentially more complicated than in the case when all edges are rays emanating from one vertex.

In the case of several noncompact edges, it is natural to use the so-called scattering data which are a generalization of the classical scattering data for the Sturm-Liouville operator on the line. However, in the presence of compact edges, the specification of the scattering data it is not sufficient to determine the potential on all edges of the graph. Therefore, it is natural to consider a mixture of the inverse spectral and inverse scattering problems. Relevant data can be subdivided into two parts. The "spectral" part consists of the so-called Weyl functions associated with the compact edges, which generalize the Weyl function for the Sturm-Liouville operator on the half-line and also on a noncompact tree with a single infinite edge [41]. Another part, in turn, includes a portion of negative eigenvalues together with the so-called reflection coefficients and norming constants associated with all but one noncompact edges. This part generalizes the left (or right) scattering data for the Sturm-Liouville operator on the line. We note that in [32], without formulations of results, a possible statement of the inverse problem is discussed for star-type graph without compact edges.

Consider a noncompact star-type graph Γ with the vertices v_0, $v_1 \ldots, v_p$ and the edges $\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_m$, where v_0 is an interior vertex; $\varepsilon_j = [v_0,v_j]$, $j = \overline{1,p}$, are compact edges, which are parameterized by the parameter $x_j \in [0,1]$, and ε_j, $j = \overline{p+1,m}$ are infinite rays, which are parameterized by the parameter $x_j \in [0,\infty)$. The value $x_j = 0$ on all edges corresponds to the point v_0. Any function y on Γ can be represent in the form $y = \{y_j\}_{j=\overline{1,m}}$, where the function $y_j(x_j)$ is defined on ε_j. For definiteness we suppose that $p \geq 1$ and $m \geq p+2$. Let $q = \{q_j\}_{j=\overline{1,m}}$ be a real-valued function on Γ; it is called the potential. Assume that

$q_j(x_j) \in L(0,1),\ j = \overline{1,p},$ and $q_j(x_j),\ x_j q_j(x_j) \in L(0,\infty),\ j = \overline{p+1,m}.$ Consider the Sturm-Liouville equation

$$\ell_j y_j := -y_j'' + q_j(x_j) y_j = \lambda y_j, \quad j = \overline{1,m}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $x_j \in (0,1)$ for $j = \overline{1,p},$ and $x_j \in (0,\infty)$ for $j = \overline{p+1,m}$. Let $y = \{y_j\}_{j=\overline{1,m}}$ satisfies the standard matching conditions in the interior vertex v_0 :

$$y_1(0) = y_j(0),\ j = \overline{2,m}, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j'(0) = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

and the following boundary conditions in the boundary vertices:

$$U_j(y_j) := y_j'(1) + H_j y(1) = 0, \quad j = \overline{1,p}. \tag{5.3}$$

Boundary value problem (5.1)-(5.3) is denoted by B. Let $\lambda = \rho^2$. Denote $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\Omega_\pm = \{\rho :\ \pm Im\,\rho > 0\}$. Let $\psi_j(x_j,\lambda)$ be the solution of Eq. (5.1) on the edge ε_j for $j = \overline{1,p}$, satisfying initial conditions $\psi_j(1,\lambda) = 1,\ U_j(\psi_j) = 0$. Let $e_j(x_j,\rho),\ \rho \in \overline{\Omega_+}$ be the Jost solution (see [12]) of Eq. (5.1) on the infinite edge $\varepsilon_j,\ j = \overline{p+1,m}$. Moreover, for $j = \overline{1,m}$ we need the solutions $C_j(x_j,\lambda)$ and $S_j(x_j,\lambda)$, satisfying the conditions $C_j(0,\lambda) = S_j'(0,\lambda) = 1$, $C_j'(0,\lambda) = S_j(0,\lambda) = 0$.

Let $\Psi_k(\lambda) = [\Psi_{kj}(x,\lambda)]_{j=\overline{1,m}},\ k = \overline{1,p}$ be the solution of Eq. (5.1), satisfying matching conditions (5.2) and the following boundary conditions:

$$U_j(\Psi_{kj}) = -\delta_{jk}, \quad j = \overline{1,p},$$

$$\Psi_{kj}(x_j,\lambda) = O(\exp(i\rho x_j)),\ \ x_j \to \infty,\ \ \rho \in \overline{\Omega_+},\ \ j = \overline{p+1,m}.$$

The functions Ψ_k and $M_k(\lambda) := \Psi_{kk}(1,\lambda)$ are called *the Weyl solution* and *the Weyl function*, respectively, associated with the boundary vertex $v_k,\ k = \overline{1,p}$.

Let the functions $f_k = \{f_{kj}\}_{j=\overline{1,m}},\ \ k = \overline{p+1,m}$ be solutions of (5.1)-(5.3), and for $\rho \in \Omega_+$ satisfy the conditions

$$f_{kk}(x_k,\rho) \sim \exp(-i\rho x_k),\ x_k \to \infty; \quad f_{kj}(x_j,\rho) = O(\exp(i\rho x_j)),\ j = \overline{p+1,m} \setminus k,\ x_j \to \infty.$$

The function f_k is called *the scattering solution*, associated with the edge ε_k .

In order to construct Weyl solutions and scattering solutions one can use auxiliary solutions $g_k = \{g_{kj}\}_{j=\overline{1,m}},\ \ k = \overline{1,m},$ where

$$g_{kj}(x_j,\rho) = e_j(x_j,\rho) \prod_{l \neq k,j} e_l(0,\rho), \quad j \neq k,$$

$$g_{kk}(x_k,\rho) = C_k(x_k,\lambda) \prod_{l \neq k} e_l(0,\rho) - S_k(x_k,\lambda) \sum_{j \neq k} e_j'(0,\rho) \prod_{l \neq k,j} e_l(0,\rho),$$

and $e_j(x_j,\rho) = \psi_j(x_j,\rho^2)$ for $j = \overline{1,p}$.

Theorem 5.1. *For $j = \overline{1,m}$, the following representations hold*

$$\Psi_{kj}(x_j,\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Delta(\lambda)} g_{kj}(x_j,\rho),\ k = \overline{1,p}, \quad f_{kj}(x_j,\rho) = \frac{1}{a(\rho)} g_{kj}(x_j,\rho),\ k = \overline{p+1,m}.$$

where

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j'(0,\rho) \prod_{l \neq j} e_l(0,\rho), \quad a(\rho) = \frac{1}{2i\rho} \Delta(\rho^2).$$

We note that Theorem 5.1 gives us the definition of scattering solutions f_k, $k = \overline{p+1,m}$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_1^* := \{\rho : \rho \in \mathbb{R}^*,\, a(\rho) \neq 0\}$. One has

$$f_{kk}(x_k,\rho) = e_k(x_k,-\rho) + s_k(\rho)e_k(x_k,\rho), \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}_1^*, \quad k = \overline{p+1,m}.$$

The function $s_k(\rho)$ is called the *reflection coefficient*, associated with the edge ε_j.

The value of the parameter λ, for which Eq. (5.1) has nonzero solutions $y = [y_j(x)]_{j=\overline{1,m}}$, satisfying the matching conditions (5.2), the boundary conditions (5.3) and the condition $y_j(x_j) \in L_2(0,\infty)$, $j = \overline{p+1,m}$, are called *eigenvalues* of B, and the corresponding solutions are called *eigenfunctions*. If $y_j(x_j) \equiv 0$ for some j with any eigenfunction y, related to the eigenvalue λ_0, then λ_0 is called *invisible* from the edge ε_j; otherwise λ_0 is called *visible* from ε_j.

Theorem 5.2. *Let Λ be the set of visible eigenvalues from noncompact edges ε_j, $j = \overline{p+1,m-1}$. Then this set is finite and lies on the negative half-line: $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=\overline{1,N}}$, $\lambda_n = \rho_n^2$, $\rho_n = i\tau_n$, $\tau_n > 0$.*

For brevity we put $f_k := \Psi_k$, $f_{kj}(x_j,\rho) := \Psi_{kj}(x_j,\rho^2)$, $j = \overline{1,m}$, $k = \overline{1,p}$.

Theorem 5.3. *The function f_k, $k = \overline{1,m}$, has at most a simple pole in the point ρ_n, $n = \overline{1,N}$. The corresponding nonzero residue is an eigenfunction related to the eigenvalue $\lambda_n = \rho_n^2$.*

Theorem 5.3 yields $\operatorname*{Res}_{\rho=\rho_n} f_{kk}(x_k,\rho) = \alpha_{kn}e_k(x_k,\rho_n)$, where α_{kn} are called *norming numbers* for ε_k. The set $J = \{M_\nu(\lambda), s_k(\rho), \lambda_n, \alpha_{kn}\}_{\nu=\overline{1,p},\, k=\overline{p+1,m-1},\, n=\overline{1,N}}$ is called *the spectral data* for the problem B.

Inverse problem 5.4. Given J, find $q = \{q_j\}_{j=\overline{1,m}}$ and H_j, $j = \overline{1,p}$.

The following theorem gives us the uniqueness of recovering B from the spectral data.

Theorem 5.5. *The specification of the spectral data J uniquely determines the potential q and the coefficients H_j $j = \overline{1,p}$.*

Theorems 5.1-5.5 are proved in [40]. A procedure for constructing the solution jf inverse problem 5.4 is also obtained.

5.2. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems on a noncompact sun-graph. We consider Sturm-Liouville differential operators on a sun-graph with one cycle and an arbitrary number of noncompact edges-rays. We present results from [37] on the inverse spectral problem for this important class of operators.

Let G be a graph consisting of infinite rays $\mathcal{R}_k$, $k = \overline{1,p}$, emanating from the vertices v_k , and a cycle $\mathcal{C}$, going around these vertices. These vertices divide the cycle $\mathcal{C}$ into the edges $\mathcal{E}_k$, $k = \overline{1,p}$. On the graph G we consider the differential expression

$$\ell y = -y'' + q(x)y,$$

where the derivative is taken with respect to the natural parameter (i.e. with respect to the arch length on the edge containing the point x). This parameter will be denoted by $|x|$. The potential $q(x)$ is real-valued and satisfies the condition $(1+|x|)q(x) \in L(G)$. Denote by Λ the set of eigenvalues of the operator L , generated in $L_2(G)$ by the differential expression ℓy and the standard matching conditions in the vertices.

For each fixed $k = \overline{1,p}$, we define *the Weyl solution* $\psi_k(x,\rho)$, $x \in G$, as a function on the graph with the properties:

1) $\ell\psi_k = \rho^2\psi_k$ on each edge;

2) it satisfies the standard matching conditions in each vertex;

3) $\psi_k(x,\lambda) = O\left(\exp(i\rho|x|)\right)$ for $x \to \infty$, $x \in \mathcal{R}_j$, $j = \overline{1,p} \setminus \{k\}$;

4) $\psi_k(x,\lambda) = \exp(-i\rho|x|)(1+o(1))$ for $x \to \infty$, $x \in \mathcal{R}_k$.

The function $\psi_k(x,\rho)$ is analytic in ρ in the upper half-plane Ω_+ with the exception of a finite (possibly empty) set Z_k^- of simple poles situated on the imaginary axis. We note that if

$\rho \in Z_k^-$, then $\lambda = \rho^2 \in \Lambda$, but the inverse assertion is not true. For the residues $\operatorname{res}_{\rho=\rho_0}\psi_k(x,\rho)$, $\rho_0 \in Z_k^-$ we have

$$\operatorname{res}_{\rho=\rho_0}\psi_k(x,\rho) = i\alpha_k(\rho_0)\exp(i\rho_0|x|)(1+o(1)), \quad x \to \infty, x \in \mathcal{R}_k,$$

where $\alpha_k(\rho_0) > 0$. Denote by Z_0^+ the set of $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\lambda = \rho^2 \in \Lambda$. Then for all $\rho_0 \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \left(\{0\} \cup Z_0^+\right)$ there exist the limits $\psi_k(x,\rho_0) := \lim\limits_{\rho\to\rho_0,\rho\in\Omega_+} \psi_k(x,\rho_0)$. If $\rho_0 \in Z_0^+$, then $\psi_k(x,\rho)$ and $\psi_k'(x,\rho)$ are bounded for $\rho \to \rho_0, \rho \in \Omega_+$. For the limit values $\psi_k(x,\rho)$, $\rho \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \left(\{0\} \cup Z_0^+\right)$ the following relation holds

$$\psi_k(x,\rho) = \exp(-i\rho|x|) + s_k(\rho)\exp(i\rho|x|) + o(1), \quad x \to \infty, x \in \mathcal{R}_k.$$

The function $s_k(\rho)$, $\rho \in \mathbf{R} \setminus (\{0\} \cup Z_0^+)$ is called *the reflection coefficient associated with* $\mathcal{R}_k$. The set $J_k := \{s_k(\rho), \rho \in \mathbf{R} \setminus (\{0\} \cup Z_0^+), Z_k^-, \alpha_k(\rho), \rho \in Z_k^-\}$ is called *the scattering data associated with* $\mathcal{R}_k$.

Theorem 5.6. *The specification of the scattering data associated with the ray* $\mathcal{R}_k$*, uniquely determines the potential on this ray.*

For recovering the potential on the cycle (and, consequently, on the whole graph G), we need all sets J_k associated with the rays, and additional information. For each $k = \overline{1,p}$, we define *the additional Weyl solution*, which is defined as a function $\psi_k^0(x,\rho)$, $x \in G$, $\rho \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with the properties:
1) $\psi_k^0(x,\rho)$ is a solution of equation $\ell y = \rho^2 y$;
2) $\psi_k^0(x,\rho)$ satisfies the standard matching conditions in the vertices;
3) the following asymptotic representation holds:

$$\lim_{x\to\infty, x\in\mathcal{R}_j} \exp(2i\rho|x|) \cdot \frac{(\psi_k^0(x,\rho))' + i\rho\psi_k^0(x,\rho)}{(\psi_k^0(x,\rho))' - i\rho\psi_k^0(x,\rho)} = -\frac{e_j'(v_j,-\rho)}{e_j'(v_j,\rho)}, \ j = \overline{1,p} \setminus \{k\},$$

$$\psi_k^0(x,\rho) = \exp(-i\rho|x|) + s_k^0(\rho)\exp(i\rho|x|) + o(1), \ x \to \infty, x \in \mathcal{R}_k,$$

where $e_j(x,\rho)$ is the classical Jost solution of the equation $\ell y = \rho^2 y$ on the ray $\mathcal{R}_j$. The function $s_k^0(\rho)$ is called *the additional reflection coefficient associated with* $\mathcal{R}_k$.

Besides the scattering data and additional reflection coefficients associated with the rays, we need spectral information related to directly the cycle $\mathcal{C}$. Denote by $\Lambda^{\mathcal{C}}$ the spectrum of the problem on the cycle (i.e. the spectrum of the periodic problem on $[0,\pi]$). Let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^k$ be the spectrum of the problem on the cycle with the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the vertex v_k, and let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}} := \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{p} \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^k$. One can show that $\Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \Lambda$. On the set $\Lambda \cap (-\infty, 0) =: \Lambda^-$ we define the function $\omega(\lambda)$ as follows: $\omega(\lambda) = 1$, if $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$, and $\omega(\lambda) = 0$, otherwise. Let $\sigma_n^{\mathcal{C}}, n = \overline{1,\infty}$ be the sequence of signs for the elements from $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^p$ associated with the periodic problem on $\mathcal{C}$. The set

$$J = \{J_k, k = \overline{1,p};\ s_k^0(\rho),\ \rho \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}, k = \overline{1,p};\ \Lambda;\ \omega(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda^-;\ \sigma_n^{\mathcal{C}}, n = \overline{1,\infty}\}.$$

is called *the complete scattering data*.

Theorem 5.7. *The specification of the complete scattering data uniquely determines the operator* L.

Theorems 5.6-5.7 are proved in [37]. A procedure for constructing the solution of the inverse problem is also obtained.

5.3. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems on a noncompact A-graph. We consider Sturm-Liouville differential operators on an arbitrary noncompact A-graph. We remind that the A-graph has the property that any two cycles of the graph can have at most one common point; in the rest the graph has an arbitrary structure. This is a wide and important class of graphs.

Inverse problems for compact A-graphs were investigated in [17-18]. Noncompact case produces essential qualitative modifications in the statement and in the solution of inverse problems. In this subsection we briefly present the results from [39] on inverse problems for noncompact A-graphs.

Let G be a noncompact A-graph (see [18]) with the set of vertices $V(G)$ and the set of edges $\mathcal{E}(G) \cup \mathcal{R}(G)$, where $\mathcal{E}(G)$ is the set of compact edges, and $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is the set of rays. Each edge is parameterized by the natural parameter $|x|$. For two arbitrary points x, x' on one edge, we denote by $|x - x'|$ the distance between these points along the edge (i.e. the length of the corresponding arc). On G we consider the differential expression

$$\ell y := -y'' + q(x)y, \tag{5.4}$$

where q is a real-valued function satisfying the condition $(1 + |x|)q(x) \in L(G)$. For each ray $r \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ we define the scattering data J_r associated with this ray analogously to the case of the sun-graph (see subsection 5.2). For each boundary vertex v we define the Weyl solution associated with v as the function $\Phi_v(x, \lambda, G)$ with the properties:
1) $\ell\Phi_v = \lambda\Phi_v$, $x \in \operatorname{int} r$, $r \in \mathcal{E}(G) \cup \mathcal{R}(G)$;
2) it satisfies the standard matching conditions in all interior vertices and the boundary conditions in all boundary vertices with the exception of the vertex v;
3) $\Phi_v(\cdot, \lambda, G) \in L_2(G)$; $\Phi_v(v, \lambda, G) = 1$.

The function $M_v(\lambda, G) := \partial_r \Phi_v(v, \lambda, G)$ is called *the Weyl function associated with* v; here ∂_r denotes the derivative in the direction toward the interior of the edge r, which is incident to v.

For each cycle $\mathfrak{c}$ we define the graph $G_\mathfrak{c}$ as follows. Let $\mathfrak{c}$ consist of the edges $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p$, connecting v_0 with v_1, v_1 with v_2, ..., v_{p-1} with v_0, respectively, where v_0 is the nearest vertex to the root, which belongs to $\mathfrak{c}$. Then $G_\mathfrak{c}$ is the graph obtained from G by the replacement of the edge r_p with the edge r'_p of the same length connecting v_{p-1} with an additional vertex $v_\mathfrak{c}$. Put $q\big|_{r'_p} = q\big|_{r_p}$, i.e. for arbitrary $x \in r'_p$ we define $q(x, G_\mathfrak{c}) := q(x', G)$, where $x' \in r_p$ such that $|x' - v_{p-1}| = |x - v_{p-1}|$. On $G_\mathfrak{c}$ we consider the differential expression (5.4) together with the standard matching conditions in the interior vertices and with the same boundary conditions as for the graph G. The vertex $v_\mathfrak{c}$ is boundary for $G_\mathfrak{c}$. For this vertex we introduce the Weyl function $M_{v_\mathfrak{c}}(\lambda, G_\mathfrak{c})$.

The set $J = \{J_r, r \in \mathcal{R}, M_v(\cdot, G), v \in \partial G \setminus \{v^0\}, M_{v_\mathfrak{c}}(\cdot, G_\mathfrak{c}), \mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is called the scattering data; here $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of cycles, and ∂G is the set of the boundary vertices of the graph G.

Theorem 5.8. *The specification of the scattering data J uniquely determines the potential q on the graph G.*

Theorem 5.8 is proved in [39]. A procedure for constructing the solution of the inverse problem is also obtained.

5.4. Variable order operators on a noncompact with a cycle. A number of practically important problems are reduced to variable order differential equations on graphs, when orders of differential equations on different edges can be different. Such problems are studied only recently, and nowadays they are investigated insufficiently. In [48-50] inverse spectral problems are considered for variable order operators on compact graphs (see also subsections 4.2 and 4.3 of the present paper). In this subsection, in contrast to the works [48-50], we study the inverse spectral problem on a noncompact graph. The graph consists of a cycle and a ray with a common vertex. On the ray we consider an equation of an arbitrary order $n > 2$. First we consider the case when the order of the equation on the cycle equals 2.

Let Γ be a graph with the vertex v_1 and the edges r_0 and r_1, where r_1 is the ray emanated from v_1, and r_0 is the cycle $[v_1, v_1]$ with the length π. The edge r_0 is parameterized by the parameter $x_0 \in [0, \pi]$, and r_1 is parameterized by the parameter $x_1 \in [0, \infty)$. A function y on the graph can be represented as $y = (y_0(x_0), y_1(x_1))$. On the edges r_j, $j = 0, 1$, we consider the differential equations

$$\ell_0 y_0 := -y_0'' + q_0(x_0)y_0 = \rho^2 y_0, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\ell_1 y_1 := y_1^{(n)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q_{1j}(x_1) y_1^{(j)} = \rho^n y_1, \tag{5.6}$$

where $q_0 \in L(0,\pi)$ is a real-valued function, $n > 2$, q_{1j} are complex-valued functions such that $q_{1j}(x_1)\exp(\tau x_1) \in L(0,\infty)$ for some $\tau > .0$ Let $\Omega_\nu = \{\rho : \arg\rho \in (\frac{\nu-1}{n}\pi, \frac{\nu}{n}\pi)\}$, and let $\omega_k, k = \overline{1,n}$ be the roots of the equation $\omega^n - 1 = 0$ such that $\mathrm{Re}(\rho\omega_1) < \mathrm{Re}(\rho\omega_2) < \ldots < \mathrm{Re}(\rho\omega_n)$ for $\rho \in \Omega_\nu$. For $k = \overline{2,n}$, we define the Weyl solution $\psi_k = \{\psi_{k0}(x_0,\rho), \psi_{k1}(x_1,\rho)\}$ as a function with the properties:

1) ψ_{k0} is a solution of Eq. (5.5), and ψ_{k1} is a solution of Eq. (5.6);

2) ψ_k satisfies the matching conditions

$$\psi_{k0}(0,\rho) = \psi_{k0}(\pi,\rho) = \psi_{k1}(0,\rho), \quad \psi'_{k0}(\pi,\rho) = \psi'_{k0}(0,\rho) + \psi'_{k1}(0,\rho),$$

$$\psi_{k1}^{(\nu-1)}(0,\rho) = 0, \nu = \overline{3,k};$$

3) $\psi_{k1}(x_1,\rho) = \exp(\rho\omega_k x_1)(1 + o(1))$, $x_1 \to \infty$.

For $k = 1$ we define $\psi_{11}(x_1,\rho)$ as the solution 0f Eq. (5.6) such that $\psi_{11}(x_1,\rho) = \exp(\rho\omega_1 x_1)(1 + o(1))$, $x_1 \to \infty$.

Theorem 5.9. *For $\nu = \overline{1,2n}$, $k = \overline{1,n}$, the function $\psi_{k1}(x_1,\rho)$ is holomorphic in $\Omega_\nu \setminus \Lambda_{k\nu}$ and continuous in $\overline{\Omega}_\nu \setminus \Lambda_{k\nu}$, where $\Lambda_{k\nu}$ is an at most countable subset of $\overline{\Omega}_\nu$ without finite limit points. Moreover, $\psi_{k1}(x_1,\rho) = O(\rho^{-N})$ for $\rho \to 0$, where N is a positive number.*

We define the matrix $\Psi(x_1,\rho)$: $\Psi_{\nu k} := \psi_{k1}^{(\nu-1)}$, $k,\nu = \overline{1,n}$. Put $\Lambda_\nu := \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \Lambda_{k\nu}$.

Theorem 5.10. *There exists $\rho_* > 0$ such that:*

1) $\Lambda_{k\nu} \cap \Lambda_{m\nu} \cap \{|\rho| > \rho_*\} = \emptyset$;

2) for any $\rho_0 \in \Lambda_\nu \cap \{|\rho| > \rho_*\}$ there exists a unique strictly upper triangular matrix $\alpha(\rho_0)$ such that the function $\Psi(x_1,\rho)(I - (\rho-\rho_0)^{-1}\alpha(\rho_0))$ is bounded in a neighborhood of ρ_0.

Theorem 5.11. *For $\rho \to \infty$, $\rho \in G_{\nu,\delta} := \{\rho \in \overline{\Omega}_\nu : \mathrm{dist}(\rho,\Lambda_\nu) > \delta\}$, $\delta > 0$,*

$$\Psi(x_1,\rho) = D_\rho\,[W]\exp(\rho x_1\omega)A(\rho),\ A(\rho) = O(1),$$

where $D_\rho = \mathrm{diag}(1,\rho,\ldots,\rho^{n-1})$, $\omega = \mathrm{diag}(\omega_1,\omega_2,\ldots,\omega_n)$, $W = (w_{jk})_{j,k=\overline{1,n}}$, $w_{jk} = \omega_k^{j-1}$, $[W] = W + O(\rho^{-1})$, and $A(\rho)$ is a upper triangular matrix with 1 on the main diagonal.

Let $\Sigma_\nu = \{\rho : \arg\rho = \frac{\nu}{n}\pi\}$. For $\rho_0 \in \Sigma_\nu \setminus (\Lambda_\nu \cup \Lambda_{\nu+1})$ we put

$$\Psi^-(x_1,\rho_0) = \lim_{\rho\to\rho_0,\rho\in\Omega_\nu} \Psi(x_1,\rho),\ \Psi^+(x_1,\rho_0) = \lim_{\rho\to\rho_0,\rho\in\Omega_{\nu+1}} \Psi(x_1,\rho).$$

Then there exists a unique matrix $v(\rho_0)$ such that $\Psi^+(x_1,\rho_0) = \Psi^-(x_1,\rho_0)v(\rho_0)$.

We will say that the collection of functions $\{q_0, q_{10}, \ldots q_{1,n-2}\}$ belongs to the class G, if the assertion of Theorem 5.10 is valid for $\rho_* = 0$. In this case we define *the scattering data associated with the edge* r_1 as the collection $J_1 = \{v(\rho_0), \rho_0 \in \Sigma_\nu \setminus (\Lambda_\nu \cup \Lambda_{\nu+1}); \Lambda_\nu; \alpha(\rho_0), \rho_0 \in \Lambda_\nu,\ \nu = \overline{1,2n}\}$.

Theorem 5.12. *The specification of the scattering data associated with the edge r_1 uniquely determines the coefficients of Eq. (5.6).*

For recovering the coefficient of Eq. (5.5) we need additional data connected with the periodic problem on r_0. Let $S_0(x_0,\lambda)$ and $C_0(x_0,\lambda)$ be solutions of the equation $\ell_0 y = \lambda y$ with the initial conditions $S_0(0,\lambda) = C'_0(0,\lambda) = 0$, $S'_0(0,\lambda) = C_0(0,\lambda) = 1$. Put $F(\lambda) := C_0(\pi,\lambda) + S'_0(\pi,\lambda)$. Let $\{\lambda_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be the spectrum of the boundary value problem for the equation $\ell_0 y = \lambda y$ with the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the endpoints of the interval $(0,\pi)$, and let $\sigma_m \in \{-1,0,1\}$, $m = \overline{1,\infty}$ be numbers such that

$$S'_0(\pi,\lambda_m) = F(\lambda_m) + \sigma_m\sqrt{F^2(\lambda_m) - 4}/2.$$

Denote by N_0 the set of all indices m such that λ_m is an eigenvalue of the periodic problem.

We define *the scattering data* as the set $J = \{J_1; \sigma_m, m = \overline{1,\infty}; N_0; \lambda_m, m \in N_0\}$.

Theorem 5.13. *The specification of the scattering data J uniquely determines the coefficients of equations (5.5), (5.6).*

Theorems 5.9-5.13 are proved in [51]. A procedure for constructing the solution of this inverse problem is also obtained.

Now we consider essentially more difficult case when the order of the equation on the cycle is more than two. The main difficulties here are that the periodic problem on the cycle for higher order equations are essentially more difficult that for the Sturm-Liouville operator. That is why the statement and the solution of the inverse problem in this case are not trivial. Here we provide the results from [52], where this complicated class of operators was studied in the first time. For simplicity, we confine ourselves to the case when the order of the equation on the cycle is equal to 3.

Let Γ be a graph consisting of the cycle r_0 of length T and the ray r_1 emanated from some point $v_1 \in r_0$. A function y on Γ may be represented in the form $y = \{y_0(x), x \in [0,T],\ y_1(x), x \in [0,\infty)\}$. On the cycle r_0 we consider the equation

$$\ell_0 y_0 \equiv D^3 y_0 + p_{01}(x) D y_0 + p_{00}(x) y_0 = \rho^3 y_0, \quad D = -id/dx, \tag{5.7}$$

where $\ell_0^* = \ell_0$. On the ray r_1 we consider the equation

$$\ell_1 y_1 \equiv D^N y_1 + \sum_{s=0}^{N-2} p_{1s}(x) D^s y_1 = \rho^N y_1, \tag{5.8}$$

where $N \geq 3$ and $|p_{1s}(x)| \exp(\tau x) \in L(0,\infty)$ for some $\tau > 0$. Consider the linear forms

$$U_\nu(y) := \sigma_\nu y^{(\nu-1)}(0) + \sum_{s=0}^{\nu-2} \sigma_{\nu s} y^{(s)}(0), u_{\xi\nu}(y) = (-1)^{\chi_{\xi\nu}} y^{(\nu-1)}(\xi),\ \nu = 1,2,$$

where $\xi \in \{0,T\}$, $\chi_{0\nu} = 0$, $\chi_{T\nu} = \chi$, $\chi_{T3} = \chi+1$, $\chi \in \{0,1\}$. For a function $y = (y_0, y_1)$ and $\nu \in \overline{1,N}$ we define the matching condition $C(\nu)$ by the relation $u_{0\nu}(y_0) = u_{T\nu}(y_0) = U_\nu(y_1)$, and the condition $K(\nu)$ by the relation $u_{0\nu}(y_0) + u_{T\nu}(y_0) + U_\nu(y_1) = 0$ for $\nu \leq 3$, and $U_\nu(y_1) = 0$ for $\nu > 3$.

Let $S_l := \{\arg(i\rho) \in ((l-1)\frac{\pi}{N}, l\frac{\pi}{N}\}$. For a fixed l we denote by R_k, $k = \overline{1,N}$ the roots of the equation $R^N - 1 = 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(i\rho R_1) < \operatorname{Re}(i\rho R_2) < \ldots < \operatorname{Re}(i\rho R_N)$ for all $\rho \in S_l$. Fix $\chi \in \{0,1\}$. For each $k = \overline{1,N}$, in each sector S_l we define the Weyl solution $\psi_k = \{\psi_{k0}(x,\rho), \psi_{k1}(x,\rho)\}$ of order k as the solution of system (5.7)-(5.8) with the condition $\psi_{k1}(x,\rho) = \exp(i\rho R_k x)(1 + o(1))$, $x \to \infty$ and with the matching conditions $C(\nu)$, $\nu = \overline{1, \nu_k - 1}, \nu_k = \min\{k, 3\}$, $K(\nu)$, $\nu = \overline{\nu_k, k}$. The solution ψ_k exists and is unique for all $\rho \in \bar{S}_l$ with the exception of an at most countable set without finite limit points (besides, possibly, the point 0).

Condition G_0. For each k the function $\psi_{k1}(x,\rho)$ is holomorphic in $S_l \cap \{|\rho| < \delta\}$ for some $\delta > 0$, continuous in $\bar{S}_l \cap \{|\rho| < \delta\} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\psi_{k1}^{(\nu-1)}(x,\rho) = O\left(\rho^{-M}\right)$, $k, \nu = \overline{1,N}$ for $\rho \to 0$, where $M < \infty$.

Let $Y_{k1}^\alpha(x,\rho)$, $k = \overline{1,N}$ be the fundamental system of solutions (in each sector S_l) of Eq. (5.8) constructed in [11] and having the following properties:

1) the functions $Y_{k1}^\alpha(x,\rho)$ are holomorphic in ρ in $S_l \cap \{|\rho| > \rho_\alpha\}$, and $\rho_\alpha \to 0$ for $\alpha \to \infty$;

2) $\lim\limits_{x\to\infty} Y_{k1}^\alpha(x,\rho) \exp(-i\rho R_k x) = 1$;

3) $D^\nu Y_{k1}^\alpha(x,\rho) = (\rho R_k)^\nu \exp(i\rho R_k x)[1]$, $[1] := (1 + O(\rho^{-1}))$, $x \geq \alpha$, $\rho \to \infty$.

Fix α such that the functions $Y_{k1}^\alpha(x,\rho)$ are holomorphic in $S_l \cap \{|\rho| > \delta/2\}$ and continuous in $\bar{S}_l \cap \{|\rho| \geq \delta/2\}$. Then for $\rho \in \bar{S}_l \cap \{|\rho| \geq \delta/2\}$, the following representations hold:

$$\psi_{k1}(x,\rho) = Y_{k1}^\alpha(x,\rho) + \sum_{j<k} \gamma_{jk}^\alpha(\rho) Y_{j1}^\alpha(x,\rho),\ \psi_{k0}(x,\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \beta_{jk}(\rho) C_j(x,\lambda), \tag{5.9}$$

where $\lambda = \rho^3$, and $C_j(x,\lambda)$ are solutions of the equation $\ell_0 y = \lambda y$ under the conditions $C_j^{(\nu-1)}(0,\lambda) = \delta_{j\nu}$.

Substituting (5.9) into the matching conditions, we obtain a system of linear algebraic equations with respect to $\gamma_{jk}^{\alpha}(\rho)$, $\beta_{jk}(\rho)$. Denote by $\Delta_k(\rho)$ the determinant of this system, and by Z_{kl} the set of its zeros situated in $\bar{S}_l \setminus \{0\}$ for $k \in \overline{2,N}$, and in $\bar{S}_l$ for $k = 1$. Clearly, the functions ψ_k are continuous on $\bar{S}_l \setminus (\{0\} \cup Z_{kl})$, and are holomorphic in $S_l \setminus Z_{kl}$. The functions $Y_{k1}^{\alpha}(x,\rho)$ admit an analytic continuation to a domain of the form $S_l^{\varepsilon} \setminus \{|\rho| \le \rho_{\alpha}\}$, where $S_l^{\varepsilon} := S_l + i\varepsilon \exp(i(l-\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{N})$. Therefore, for any $\rho_0 \in Z_{kl}$ the functions $\psi_{kj}(x,\rho)$ admit a holomorphic continuation in a deleted neighborhood of ρ_0. In the sequel, we will assume that the following condition is fulfilled.

Condition G_1. The sets Z_{kl} for different k do not intersect. Each $\rho_0 \in Z_{kl}$ is a simple zero of $\Delta_k(\rho)$ and a simple zero of $\psi_{kj}(x,\rho)$ (at least for one $j \in \{0,1\}$).

For any $\rho_0 \in Z_{kl}$ there exist numbers $v_{jk}^l(\rho_0)$, $j < k$ such that the functions

$$D^{\nu-1}\psi_{k1}(x,\rho) - (\rho-\rho_0)^{-1}\sum_{j<k} v_{jk}^l(\rho_0) D^{\nu-1}\psi_{j1}(x,\rho_0),\ \nu = \overline{1,N}$$

are holomorphic in a neighbourhood of ρ_0.

For studying the behavior of the Weyl solutions for large ρ, we rewrite them in the form:

$$\psi_{k1}(x,\rho) = Y_{k1}^0(x,\rho) + \sum_{j<k}\gamma_{jk}(\rho)Y_{j1}^0(x,\rho), \quad \psi_{k0}(x,\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{3}\beta_{jk}(\rho)Y_{j0}(x,\rho), \tag{5.10}$$

where $Y_{j0}(x,\rho)$ are Birchhoff's solutions [55]. Substituting (5.10) into the matching conditions, we obtain a system of linear algebraic equations with respect to $\gamma_{jk}(\rho)$, $\beta_{jk}(\rho)$. Solving this system we find

$$\gamma_{sk}(\rho) = -D_{sk}(\rho)/D_k(\rho),$$

where $D_k(\rho)$ is the determinant of the system, and $D_{sk}(\rho)$ can be obtained from $D_k(\rho)$ by formal replacement Y_{s1} with Y_{k1}. Now we consider the following system (it is obtained from the above-mentioned system by the replacement Y_{kj} with their main asymptotical terms):

$$\sum_{s=1}^{3}\beta_{ks}\omega^{s(\nu-1)} = (-1)^{\chi_{T\nu}}\sum_{s=1}^{3}\beta_{ks}\omega^{s(\nu-1)}\exp(i\rho\omega^s T) = \sigma_\nu\left(\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\gamma_{ks}R_s^{\nu-1} + R_k^{\nu-1}\right),\ \nu = \overline{1,\nu_k-1},$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{3}\beta_{ks}\omega^{s(\nu-1)} + (-1)^{\chi_{T\nu}}\sum_{s=1}^{3}\beta_{ks}\omega^{s(\nu-1)}\exp(i\rho\omega^s T) + \sigma_\nu\left(\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\gamma_{ks}R_s^{\nu-1} + R_k^{\nu-1}\right) = 0,\ \nu = \overline{\nu_k,3},$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\gamma_{ks}R_s^{\nu-1} + R_k^{\nu-1} = 0,\ \nu = \overline{4,k}.$$

Denote by $D_k^0(\rho)$ the determinant of this system. One has

$$D_k^0(\rho) = A_{k0} + \sum_{m=1}^{3}(A_{km}^{+}\exp(i\rho\omega^m T) + A_{km}^{-}\exp(-i\rho\omega^m T),$$

where the numbers A_{k0}, $A_{km}^{\pm}$ depend only on the coefficients σ_ν of the forms U_ν and the sector S_l. For the determinates $D_k(\rho)$, $D_{sk}(\rho)$ the following asymptotical formulae hold

$$D_k(\rho) = [A_{k0}] + \sum_{m=1}^{3}([A_{km}^{+}]\exp(i\rho\omega^m T) + [A_{km}^{-}]\exp(-i\rho\omega^m T)),$$

$$D_{sk}(\rho) = [A_{sk0}] + \sum_{m=1}^{3}([A^+_{skm}]\exp(i\rho\omega^m T) + [A^-_{skm}]\exp(-i\rho\omega^m T)),$$

where the numbers A_{sk0}, $A^\pm_{skm}$ also depend only on the coefficients σ_ν of the forms U_ν and the sector S_l. Let the regularity condition $A^\pm_{km} \ne 0$ is fulfilled for all k, m, l.

Fix $\chi \in \{0, 1\}$. We define the matrix $\Psi = (\Psi_{\nu k})_{k,\nu=\overline{1,N}}$ via $\Psi_{\nu k}(x, \rho) := D^{\nu-1}\psi_{k1}(x, \rho)$. For $\rho_0 \in \Sigma_l \setminus (Z_l \cup Z_{l+1})$ (where $\Sigma_l := \bar{S}_l \cap \bar{S}_{l+1}$, $Z_l := \bigcup_k Z_{kl}$) we define $\Psi_-(x_1, \rho_0) := \lim\limits_{\rho\to\rho_0, \rho\in S_l} \Psi(x, \rho)$, $\Psi_+(x, \rho_0) := \lim_{\rho\to\rho_0, \rho\in S_{l+1}} \Psi(x, \rho)$ and the matrix $v(\rho_0) := \Psi_-^{-1}(x, \rho_0)\Psi_+(x, \rho_0)$. Furthermore, for $\rho_0 \in Z_{kl}$ we define the matrices $v_l(\rho_0) := \left(v^l_{jk}(\rho_0)\right)_{j,k=\overline{1,N}}$.

The set

$$J_1^\chi = \left\{v(\rho), \rho \in \Sigma_l \setminus (Z_l \cup Z_{l+1}), Z_{kl}, v_l(\rho), \rho \in Z_{kl}, k = \overline{1, N}, l = \overline{1, 2N}\right\}$$

is called the scattering data associated with the ray r_1.

Theorem 5.14. *The specification of the scattering data J_1^χ uniquely determines the potentials p_{1s}, $s = \overline{0, N-2}$ and ψ.*

Denote by $\Lambda^\pm$ the spectra of the boundary value problems for the equation $\ell_0 y = \lambda y$ with the conditions $y^{(\nu-1)}(0) \pm y^{(\nu-1)}(T) = 0$, $\nu = \overline{1, 3}$. Denote by $\Lambda^\pm_s$, $s = 1, 2$ the spectra of the problems for the same equation with the conditions $y^{(s-1)}(0) = y^{(s-1)}(T) = y^{(2-s)}(0) \pm y^{(2-s)}(T) = 0$. Let $\Delta^\pm_{30}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta^\pm_{3s}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic functions of these problems, respectively. Put $\Lambda^\pm_{3s} := \Lambda^\pm \cap \Lambda^\pm_s$.

The set $J = \{J_1^0, J_1^1, \Lambda^+_{31}, \Lambda^-_{31}, \Lambda^+_{32}, \Lambda^-_{32}\}$ is called *the global scattering data*.

Theorem 5.15. *The specification of the global scattering data J uniquely determines the potentials p_{1s}, $s = \overline{0, N-2}$ and p_{0s}, $s = 0, 1$.*

Theorems 5.14-5.15 are proved in [52]. A constructive procedure for the solution of this inverse problem is also obtained.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grant 1.1436.2014K of the Russian Ministry of Education and Science and by Grant 13-01-00134 of Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

Bibliography

[1] Montrol E. Quantum theory on a network. J. Math. Phys. 11, no.2 (1970), 635-648.

[2] Nicaise S. Some results on spectral theory over networks, applied to nerve impulse transmission. Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1771, Springer, 1985, 532-541.

[3] Langese J.E., Leugering G. and Schmidt J.P.G. Modelling, analysis and control of dynamic elastic multi-link structures. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.

[4] Kottos T. and Smilansky U. Quantum chaos on graphs. Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997), 4794-4797.

[5] Tautz J., Lindauer M. and Sandeman D.C. Transmission of vibration across honeycombs and its detection by bee leg receptors. J. Exp. Biol. 199 (1999), 2585-2594.

[6] Dekoninck B. and Nicaise S. The eigenvalue problem for networks of beams. Linear Algebra Appl. 314 (2000), no. 1-3, 165-189.

[7] Sobolev A. and Solomyak M., Schrödinger operator on homogeneous metric trees: spectrum in gaps. Rev. Math. Phys. 14, no.5 (2002), 421-467. *

[8] Pokorny Yu.V., Penkin O.M., Pryadiev V.L., Borovskikh A.V., Lazarev K.P., Shabrov C.A. Differential equations on geometrical graphs. Moscow, Fizmatlit, 2004.

[9] Marchenko V.A. Sturm-Liouville operators and their applications. "Naukova Dumka Kiev, 1977; English transl., Birkhäuser, 1986.

[10] Levitan B.M. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems. Nauka, Moscow, 1984; English transl., VNU Sci.Press, Utrecht, 1987.

[11] Yurko V.A. Method of Spectral Mappings in the Inverse Problem Theory, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series. VSP, Utrecht, 2002.

[12] Yurko V.A. Introduction to the theory of inverse spectral problems. Moscow, Fizmatlit, 2007, 384pp. (Russian).

[13] Belishev M.I. Boundary spectral Inverse Problem on a class of graphs (trees) by the BC method. Inverse Problems 20 (2004), 647-672.

[14] Yurko V.A. Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on graphs. Inverse Problems, 21, no.3 (2005), 1075-1086.

[15] Brown B.M.; Weikard R. A Borg-Levinson theorem for trees. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 461 (2005), no.2062, 3231-3243.

[16] Yurko V.A. Inverse problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on bush-type graphs. Inverse Problems, 25, no.10 (2009), 105008, 14pp.

[17] Yurko V.A. An inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operators on A-graphs. Applied Math. Letters, 23, no.8 (2010), 875-879.

[18] Yurko V.A. Reconstruction of Sturm-Liouville differential operators on A-graphs. Differ. Uravneniya, 47, no.1 (2011), 50-59 (Russian); English transl. in Differential Equations, 47, no.1 (2011), 50-59.

[19] Yurko V.A. An inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operators on arbitrary compact spatial networks. Doklady Akad. Nauk. 432, no.3 (2010), 318-321 (Russian); English transl. in Doklady Mathematics 81, no.3 (2010), 410-413.

[20] Yurko V.A. Inverse spectral problems for differential operators on arbitrary compact graphs. Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Proplems, 18, no.3 (2010), 245-261.

[21] Freiling G. and Yurko V.A. Inverse problems for differential operators on graphs with general matching conditions. Applicable Analysis, 86, no.6 (2007), 653-667.

[22] Avdonin S.; Kurasov P. Inverse problems for quantum trees. Inverse Problems and Imaging, vol.2, no.1 (2008), 1-21.

[23] Carlson R. Inverse eigenvalue problems on directed graphs. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351, no.10 (1999), 4069-4088.

[24] Freiling G., Ignatiev M., Yurko V.A. An inverse spectral problem for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials on star-type graphs. Analysis on graphs and its applications. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol.77, 397-408, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2008.

[25] Kurasov P. Inverse scattering for lasso graph. J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013), no.4, 042103, 14pp.

[26] Pivovarchik V.N. Inverse problem for the Sturm-Liouville equation on a simple graph. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 32, no.4 (2000), 801-819.

[27] Yang C-Fu; Yang X-P. Uniqueness theorems from partial information of the potential on a graph. Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems, 19, no. 4-5 (2011), 631-639.

[28] Yurko V.A. Inverse nodal problems for differential operators on graphs. Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems, 16, no.7 (2008), 715-722.

[29] Freiling G. and Yurko V.A. Inverse nodal problems for differential operators on graphs with a cycle. Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 41, no.1 (2010), 15-24.

[30] Yurko V.A. Recovering differential pencils on compact graphs. Journal of Diff. Equations, 244, no.2 (2008), 431-443.

[31] Yurko V.A. An inverse problem for differential pencils on graphs with a cycle. Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems 22, no.5 (2014), 625-641.

[32] Gerasimenko N.I. Inverse scattering problem on a noncompact graph. Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 74 (1988), no. 2, 187-200 (Russian); English transl. in Theor. Math. Phys. 75 (1988), 460-470.

[33] Harmer M. Inverse scattering for the matrix Schrödinger operator and Schrödinger operator on graphs with general self-adjoint boundary conditions. ANZIAM J. 44 (2002), 161-168.

[34] Kurasov P.; Stenberg F. On the inverse scattering problem on branching graphs. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 (2002), 101-121.

[35] Pivovarchik V.; Latushkin Y. Scattering in a forked-shaped waveguide. Integral Equat. Oerator Theory 61 (2008), no.3, 365-399.

[36] Trooshin I.; Marchenko V.; Mochizuki K. Inverse scattering on a graph containing circle. Analytic methods of analysis and differ. equations: AMADE 2006, 237-243, Camb. Sci. Publ., Cambridge, 2008.

[37] Ignatyev M.Yu. and Freiling G. Spectral analysis for the Sturm-Liouville operator on sun-type graphs. Inverse Problems 27, no.9 (2011), 095003 (17pp).

[38] Ignatyev M.Yu. Inverse scattering problem for Sturm-Liouville operator on one-vertex noncompact graph with a cycle. Tamkang J. Math. 42, no.3 (2011), 365-384.

[39] Ignatyev M.Yu. Inverse scattering problem for Sturm-Liouville operator on non-compact A-graph. Uniqueness result. arXiv:1311.2862 (2014)

[40] Buterin S.A. and Freiling G. Inverse spectral-scattering problem for the Sturm-Liouville operator on a noncompact star-type graph, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics 44 (2013), no.3, 327-349.

[41] Freiling G. and Yurko V.A. Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on noncompact trees. Results in Mathematics, 50, no.3-4 (2007), 195-212.

[42] Yurko V.A. An inverse spectral problem for pencils of differential operators on noncompact networks. Differ. Uravneniya, 44, no.12 (2008), 1658-1666 (Russian); English transl. in Differential Equations, 44, no.12 (2008), 1721-1729.

[43] Yurko V.A. Inverse problems for Bessel-type differential equations on noncompact graphs using spectral data. Inverse Problems, 27, no.4 (2011), 045002, 17pp.

[44] Yurko V.A. Inverse problems for differential operators of any order on trees. Matem. Zametki, 83, no.1 (2008), 139-152 (Russian); English transl. in Mathematical Notes, 83, no.1 (2008), 125-137.

[45] Yurko V.A. Inverse spectral problems for arbitrary order differential operators on noncompact trees. Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems, 20, no.1 (2012), 111-132.

[46] Yurko V.A. Inverse problems for differential systems on graphs with regular singularities. Math. Notes 96, no.4 (2014), 617-621.

[47] Pokornyi Yu.V., Beloglazova T.V., Lazarev K. On a class of variable order ordinary differential equation on a graph. Matem. Zametki 73, no.3 (2003), 469-470; English transl. in Mathem. Notes 73, no.3 (2003).

[48] Yurko V.A. Recovering variable order differential operators on star-type graphs from spectra. Differ. Uravneniya, 49, no.12 (2013), 1537-1548. (Russian); English transl. in Differ. Equations 49, no.12 (2013), 1490-1501.

[49] Yurko V.A. Inverse problems for differential operators of variable orders on star-type graphs: general case. Analysis and Mathematical Physics, vol. 4, no.3 (2014), 247-262.

[50] Bondarenko N.P. Inverse problems for the differential operator on the graph with a cycle with different orders on different edges. Tamkang Journal of Mathematics (accepted).

[51] Ignatyev M.Yu. Uniqueness of recovering a variable order differential operators on the simplest noncompact graph with a cycle. Mathematics and Mechanics, vol.15, Saratov University Press, Saratov, 2013, 35-37 (Russian).

[52] Ignatyev M.Yu. Uniqueness of the solution of the inverse scattering problem for a variable order differential operators on the simplest noncompact graph with a cycle. Izvest. Saratov University. Ser. Math., Mech., Inform. vol. 14, no.4, part 2 (2014), 542-549 (Russian).

[53] Currie S. and Watson B. The M-matrix for the Sturm-Liouville equation on graphs. Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 139A (2009), 775-796.

[54] Yurko V.A. An inverse problems for the matrix Sturm-Liouville equation on a finite interval. Inverse Problems, 22, no.4 (2006), 1139-1149.

[55] Naimark M.A. Linear differential operators. 2nd ed., Nauka, Moscow, 1969; English transl. of 1st ed., Parts I,II, Ungar, New York, 1967, 1968.

Name: Yurko, Vjacheslav
Place of work: Department of Mathematics, Saratov State University
Astrakhanskaya 83, Saratov 410012, Russia
E-mail: yurkova@info.sgu.ru